

THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Friday, the 24th February 1961.

The House met in the Council Chamber, Fort St. George, at three of the clock, Mr. Chairman (THE HON. DR. P. V. CHERIAN) in the Chair.

I.—QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

STARRED QUESTIONS

Cars for the use of the Ministers

* 77 Q.—DR. A. SREENIVASAN : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have imported cars for the use of the Ministers in the State during 1959-60;

(b) if so, the number and the make of the cars so imported; and

(c) the cost of each car?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM (on behalf of the Hon. the Chief Minister) : (a) No.

(b) & (c) Do not arise.

DR. A. SREENIVASAN : Is it a fact that the local Government made representations to the Union Government about the purchase of new cars?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : The question is whether any cars have been purchased for the Ministers. I have said, "No". If the hon. Member wants any further information, he can put a separate question.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Most of the cars used by Ministers are new. When were they imported?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : That shows that we are keeping them well. It is more than five years since we purchased them.

DR. A. SREENIVASAN : Why is it that the Ministers are having huge cars while the Prime Minister said the other day that the Raj Bhavan should have small cars?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : These are cars purchased long ago. If the hon. Member wants us to dispose of them, that is a different matter.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : The Cabinet formed in 1957 was almost without any change. Am I to take it that no car was changed in these few years?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : After that, a few cars have been changed.



[24th February 1961]

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : May I know, when a car is allotted to any individual Minister, whether there is any stipulation that he should use it for a certain period, unless it is declared unfit for the use of the Minister earlier?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Standards have been laid down. The cars should have done a running of 50,000 miles. As a matter of fact, most of the cars have done a running of about 70,000 miles. The car of the Minister for Industries might have done a running of more than a lakh of miles.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : When a Minister lays down office or his term of office expires, how is the car disposed of? Is it sold by public auction?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : If it can still be used, it may be passed on to the successors of the Ministers.

Teleprinter factory

*78 Q.—SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state whether the Government have been consulted in the matter of location of Teleprinter factory at Guindy, Madras?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Yes, Sir.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Is this factory for manufacturing parts or for manufacturing teleprinters?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : It will also be for manufacturing parts of teleprinters. In the first stage, it will be assembling parts, and in the course of two or three years, we will start manufacturing teleprinters.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Is it a Government of India undertaking or a State Government undertaking?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : It is a Government of India undertaking.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : May I know the capital amount involved in setting up this factory and the number of sets which are likely to be produced in the first or second year?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : We do not know the capital structure of this company, but we will make roughly two to three thousand units to start with, and then it will progressively increase.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Is there any provision for training apprentices in this factory?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Yes, Sir. It will be part of the scheme. But I want to correct the answer given to the previous supplementary question. To start with, it will be 176 and it will go to 1,226 machines in the course of two or three years.

24th February 1961]

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : May I know whether the production is for internal consumption or is there any possibility of exporting a part of it?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : It is just counting the chickens before they are hatched. We have not even started the factory yet.

Powerlooms

* 79 Q.—**SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAM :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether there is any proposal to introduce powerlooms in the place of handlooms in the State; and

(b) if so, the procedure for such replacement?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : (a) & (b) Sir, it is proposed to introduce powerlooms up to a certain percentage in Weavers' Co-operative Societies, if requested by the Societies. Such introduction does not involve replacement but will constitute an addition to the existing looms.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : Then, who will be working on these looms?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : The members of the co-operative societies.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : The existing members only will go to work on the powerlooms that would be introduced. That means that it is only replacement of the present ordinary handlooms?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : I may explain it in a little detail. If a co-operative society has, say, 100 or 200 looms, we may allow up to 10 per cent of power looms, i.e., 10 or 20 powerlooms. And for running these 10 or 20 powerlooms, we will take in ten or twenty workers who have been trained to work on powerlooms. They will become members of the co-operative society and they will earn their wages. The idea is that profits that accrue out of the use of these powerlooms should be distributed amongst all the members including the old members of the co-operative society.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Has the Hon. Minister considered the fact that by the introduction of powerlooms a number of people would be thrown out of employment?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : That has been an age long conception, but fortunately disproved by the development of industry all over the world. Automation and mechanization has not brought about unemployment in other countries but has opened up new avenues of employment.

[24th February 1961]

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : What is the main intention of introducing these powerlooms? Is it for more production?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : The intention is to provide better income and better wages for the workers. In the ordinary loom their earnings are poor, but in the powerloom their earnings will be almost doubled.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : Is the Hon. Minister aware that the powerloom requires more yarn than the handloom? Even now the Government are not able to supply yarn to the handloom at a fair price to the weavers. How do the Government expect to provide yarn to the powerlooms?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : The question of the hon. Member is based on an assumption which is incorrect. There is plenty of yarn available in the country, but the price in sympathy with the price of cotton, rates of wages payable to the textile workers, etc., have gone up. There is no dearth or shortage of yarn in the country.

3-10
p.m.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : As a matter of fact, at no time in Madras there used to be available more than 500 bales. Today I may tell the Hon. Minister that not even 100 bales are available in the Madras market. That means that there is only shortage and it is not an assumption. Will the Hon. Minister clarify this?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : These are all seasonal fluctuations. Shortage is one which is continuous. We are all aware of the seasonal fluctuations in the supply and demand of yarn and there is no overall shortage of yarn in the country.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : The price is continuously rising in the past so many months in spite of the Government's assurances. That means that it is only due to shortage. It is not due to any other factor. Will the Hon. Minister clarify?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : The hon. Member is giving his conclusions and not asking for any information. He is only confirming what I said just now. The position is this. With the increasing prices of cotton, with the increasing wages for labour, and with the increase all round in the cost of production, the price of yarn also goes up. Correspondingly the price of cloth also goes up. Correspondingly, the prices of handloom goods are also showing an upward trend. Therefore, it is wrong to say that the prices go up because of shortage. It is not because of shortage but because of the general trend in the level of prices all round.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : By introducing powerlooms we expect more production of cloth. That means there will be at one stage slump in the cloth market. What will the Government do in such cases?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : It is purely hypothetical. My expectation is that in another fifty years to come we will never face a slump in any sector.

24th February 1961]

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : I concede the Minister for Industries is an expert in handlooms. But as his idea in shifting from handloom to powerloom is to make the workers earn more, why not shift completely from handloom to powerloom irrespective of the consequences of unemployment?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : If the hon. Member means, "Why not shift the whole thing to textile mills?", then we say that the capital to be invested is too heavy and it cannot be done immediately. It will progressively happen. If the hon. Member says, "Why not shift all of them to powerlooms?", there, again, the investment is heavy and the availability is not as the hon. Member imagines. We may not get powerlooms in such large numbers as we want. Therefore, this has got to be done progressively and only—I want to repeat—if requested by the handloom weavers in the co-operative sector.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : May I take it that the Minister for Industries wants to give this warning to handlooms that they cannot remain for long and that they should shift slowly to powerloom?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : I am afraid even the inference is incorrect. There are certain sectors of handlooms production which will continue in perpetuity. There are several skilled designs and certain patterns which cannot be easily woven by machines. Those things will continue to be the pride of handloom production in our country. And I envisage the handloom to survive for all time provided they take to these specialised productions.

SRI B. K. NALLASWAMI : What are the varieties of handloom cloth which the Government want to transfer to powerlooms?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : There is no particular variety. Normally, these common patterns like dhoties and sarees can be more easily woven in powerlooms than the specialised varieties like 'chintz' cloth and so on.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : The Hon. Minister is aware that the *per capita* consumption of cloth during the Second Plan period has increased only by one yard. How is it that the Hon. Minister expects that there will be no slump in handloom cloth?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : The population has increased.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : My first answer is that the standard of life of the people has gone up; their money wages are going up. My second point is that the population, as the Hon. Minister for Finance has said, is increasing. We will, therefore, require more cloth.

[24th February 1961]

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : All these things are happening even now.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Let the hon. Member have something for the Budget discussion.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : All these things will be happening even during the Third Plan period. How does the Hon. Minister expect consumption to go up beyond one yard *per capita*?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : The hon. Member apparently holds views diametrically opposed to the views held by the Government. He may present them during the Budget discussion and we will have plenty of opportunity to meet them.

SRI A. K. THANGAVEL MUDALIAR : ஒரு 'லாம்'-க்கு எவ்வளவு பணம் தேவைப்படுகிறது? அதனால் ஏழைகளுக்கு லாபகரமாக இருக்குமா?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : ஏழைகளுக்கு லாபகரமாக இருக்கும் என்பதுதான் சர்க்காரின் அபிப்பிராயம். காரணம் இன்றைக்கு சாதாரணமாக ஒரு கைத்தறியிலிருந்து ஒரு தொழிலாளிக்கு மாதம் ரூ. 50-லிருந்து 60, 70 வரை துணி நெய், தில்லை, உதிரியம் கிடைக்கும். பவர் லூமில் வேலை செய்தால் நான் ஒன்றுக்கு ரூ. 2½-லிருந்து ரூ. 4½ வரை கிடைக்கிறது. தொழிலாளிகளுக்கு இதனால் நன்மைதான் கிடைக்கிறது. பவர் லூம் என்ன விலை என்பது பற்றி என்னிடம் விவரம் இல்லை.

Music teachers

* 80 Q.—SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether any Government Order laying down the minimum qualifications of Music Teachers in Secondary Schools has been passed in 1956; and

(b) if so, the date from which it has been brought into force?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The revised qualifications are applicable to teachers employed on or after 8th October 1956, the date of the order.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : May I know what is the additional qualification that is insisted upon as per the Government Order?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : I would refer the hon. Member to the Government Order.

24th February 1961]

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY: May I know whether the Government are aware of the fact that this Government Order was given retrospective effect in certain districts and that the services of certain music teachers were terminated?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBHAMANIAM: I have no information to that effect.

Secondary grade teachers and trained graduates.

* 81 Q.—**SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN**: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state the number of secondary grade teachers and trained graduates who are continued on a temporary basis even after three years of service in District Board Schools district-wise.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: None, Sir.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN: I am glad Sir.

Sterile solutions

* 82 Q.—**DR. A. SREENIVASAN**: Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) the amount of sterile solutions manufactured in the King Institute, Guindy, in the half year ended 30th June 1959;
- (b) to whom they were supplied; and
- (c) the amount realized from the sale?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU: (a) 1,19,71,995 c.c.

(b) The solutions were supplied to 287 institutions in and outside the State.

(c) The total sales amount to Rs. 5,601.13 of which Rs. 3,052.88 has been realized.

DR. A. SREENIVASAN: What was the difficulty in realizing the balance? If they are Government institutions, it must be by book adjustment; if they are private parties, then a suit must be filed.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU: Supply to Government institutions is free. Supply to private institutions is charged. Only so much as I have said has been realized so far and as there are arrears in all other cases, there are arrears here also. (laughter).

DR. A. SREENIVASAN: Is it a fact that the Director of the King Institute, Guindy, has received a lot of complaints from various medical institutions and managements that the solutions have produced reaction after injection?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU: No, Sir. I am not aware from what source the hon. Members gets all these things.

[24th February 1961]

DR. A. SREENIVASAN : My source is the patients themselves. Will the Hon. Minister make an enquiry in public interests as to whether the solutions are sufficiently sterile so as not to produce reaction when victims are injected?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Victims?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : If the hon. Member puts the question in proper form, I will answer.

Basic Training

* 83 Q.—VIDWAN T. MUTHUKANNAPPAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) the stipend paid to students undergoing Basic Training (both Junior and Senior);

(b) the date when the present rate of stipend was fixed;

(c) whether there is any proposal to raise it; and

(d) if so, from when and to how much?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : (a) Rs. 18 per mensem per student.

(b) July 1948.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

VIDWAN T. MUTHUKANNAPPAN : இந்த ரூ. 18 மிகவும் குறைவாக இருக்கிறது. அதை உயர்த்தவேண்டுமென்று நிர்வாகிகளிடமிருந்தாவது அல்லது ஸ்தாபனங்களிலிருந்தாவது ஏதாவது விண்ணப்பங்கள் அரசினருக்கு வந்திருக்கின்றனவா? வந்திருந்தால் அவற்றின் மீது ஏதாவது நடவடிக்கை எடுத்திருக்கிறார்களா?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : நிதி இலாகா இந்த ரூ. 18-ஐயே எடுத்துவிடவேண்டுமென்று நிர்ப்பந்தம் செய்து கொண்டிருக்கிறது. அதைக் கொஞ்சம் பிடித்து நிறுத்தி வைத்துக் கொண்டிருக்கவேண்டியிருக்கிறது.

Advances for house construction

* 84 Q.—SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state whether there is any proposal to extend the benefit of advance for purposes of house construction to the teachers in non-Government institutions?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : No, Sir.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : What is the difficulty in extending it to teachers in non-Government schools?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Want of resources.

24th February 1961]

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : How much is paid to Government servants and how is it related to their salary or provident fund accumulations?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Government cannot undertake every responsibility. As far as Government servants are concerned, we have got a special responsibility particularly for housing them. That is why we have to construct houses for them. I do not have the figures with reference to the maximum amount advanced to officers. A separate question may be put to my Hon. Colleague in charge of Housing.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Have Government any proposal to give house-rent allowance to teachers in non-Government institutions?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : No, Sir.

Dhobikhanas

* 85 Q.—**SRI M. ETHIRAJALU :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have applied for any assistance from the Government of India for the construction of Dhobikhanas in the State; and

(b) if so, the stage at which the matter now stands?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of India have expressed their inability to agree to this Government's proposal for sanction of Central assistance for this purpose.

SRI M. ETHIRAJALU : டோபிகாணாக்கள் கட்டுவது சம்பந்தமாக நிதி ஒதுக்குவது பற்றி சர்க்கார் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுத்திருக்கிறது?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : தற்பொழுது இரண்டே முக்கால் லட்சம் அதற்காக கடன் உதவியாக அளிக்க ஸ்தலஸ்தாபனத்தில் ஒதுக்கப்பட்டதில் 1.7 லட்சம்தான் உபயோகப்படுத்தப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. உதவித்தொகை கடனாக வழங்கப்படவேண்டிய காரணத்தால் அது முழுவதும் பயன்படுத்தப்பட்டு செலவழிக்கப்படவில்லை என்று தெரிகிறது. கார்ப்பரேஷனுக்கு ஒரு லட்சம் ரூபாய் டோபிகாணா பழுது பார்க்கவும் புதிய இடம் கட்டுவதற்கும் ஒதுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது.

SRI M. ETHIRAJALU : ராஜ்யத்தில் எந்தெந்த நகரசபைகளிலிருந்து டோபிகாணா கட்டுவதற்குக் கடன் கேட்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது? எந்தெந்த நகரசபைகளுக்குச் சர்க்கார் கடன் கொடுத்திருக்கிறது?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : 27 நகரசபைகளும் 44 பஞ்சாயத்துக்களும் இதற்கு உதவி கேட்டிருக்கின்றார்கள்.

[24th February 1961]

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Will the Government realize that in order to preserve the health of the people, dhobikhanas should be constructed? Otherwise, there will spread contagious diseases. Have the Government any proposal to construct dhobikhanas?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : The Government have realized it and that is why they are attending to this matter.

* 86 Q.—**SRI M. ETHIRAJALU :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state, with reference to the answer given to Legislative Council Question No. 175, on 25th April 1960, the amount of loan granted to each Municipality for the construction of dhobikhanas during 1960-61?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : No loan has been sanctioned to any of the municipalities during 1960-61 for construction of dhobikhanas. The Corporation of Madras has been sanctioned a sum of Rs. 1 lakh for construction of and improvements to dhobikhanas in the City.

SRI M. ETHIRAJALU : குடிசைத் தொழில்கள் செய்து வரும் சைதாப்பேட்டையில் பல வருடங்களாக டோபிகானா கட்டப்படுமென்று சொல்லப்படுகிறது. இப்பொழுது ஒரு லட்சம் ஒதுக்கப் பட்டிருப்பதாக மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொன்னார்கள். அந்தப் பணத்தில் சைதாப்பேட்டையில் டோபிகானா கட்டப்படுமா?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : அது கட்டுவதற்காகத்தான் இந்தத் தொகை ஒதுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Is it because that the other municipalities did not apply for loans that no loans were sanctioned to them or is it that the Madras Corporation is specially favoured?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : In a way, Madras Corporation is specially favoured, because the City is thickly populated. This is purely a loan and no subsidy, and many municipalities are not coming forward with requests.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Am I to understand that if the municipalities apply for loans, they will be granted loans?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : That is exactly what I said in answering the previous question. Even the sum allotted as loan was not utilized during last year.

DR. A. SREENIVASAN : டோபிகானாக்காரர்களிடம் சலவைக் குப் போட்டால் ஜாஸ்தி ரூபாய் கேட்கிறார்கள். சாதாரணமாக வீட்டுக்கு வருபவர்களிடம் போட்டால் குறைவாக வாங்குகிறார்கள். இதற்கு ஏன் டோபிகானாக்கள் கட்டவேண்டும்?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : இது சலவைக் குப் போடுகிறவர்களும் எடுக்கிறவர்களும் பேசித் தீர்த்துக் கொள்ளவேண்டிய விஷயம். (சிரிப்பு)

24th February 1961]

SRI N. ANNAMALAI PILLAI : திருவண்ணாமலை, திண்டிவனம் பகுதிகளில் டோபிகாண கட்டுவதற்கு நகரசபைகளுக்கு சர்க்கார் உதவி ஏதாவது இருக்கிறதா?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : அதற்குத் தனியாகக் கேள்வி கேட்கவேண்டும்.

SRI M. ETHIRAJALU : சர்க்கார் உதவியின் பேரில் டோபிகாண கட்டிடங்கள் இந்த ராஜ்யத்தில் எத்தனை கட்டப்பட்டிருக்கின்றன?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : 11 டோபிகாணங்கள் இருக்கின்றன. மதராஸ் சிட்டியில் 8 இருக்கின்றன.

SRI N. ANNAMALAI PILLAI : ஒரு லட்ச ரூபாய் ஒதுக்கியிருப்பதில் திருவண்ணாமலை, திண்டிவனம் பகுதியில் டோபிகாண கட்டுவதற்கு முடியுமா?

THE HON. SRIMATHI LOURDHAMMAL SIMON : ஒரு லட்ச ரூபாய் கார்ப்பரேஷனுக்கு ஒதுக்கியிருக்கிறது.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Questions are over.

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—ANNOUNCEMENT re DECISION OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

3-20
p.m

MR. CHAIRMAN : At a meeting of the Business Advisory Committee held at 2-30 p.m. to-day the following revised programme of business has been decided :—

25th February 1961	..	Presentation of Budget for the year 1961-62.
26th February 1961	..	Holiday.
27th February 1961	..	No meeting.
28th February 1961	..	} Holidays.
1st March 1961	..	
2nd March 1961	..	No Meeting.
3rd March 1961	..	} Consideration of:
4th March 1961	..	
6th March 1961	..	
7th March 1961	..	
	..	
		(1) The Madras State Housing Board Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 31 of 1960).
		(2) The Madras Estates Land (Reduction of Rent) Amendment Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 2 of 1961).
		(3) The Travancore-Cochin Agricultural Income-tax (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 3 of 1961).
		(4) The Madras Inams (Assessment) Amendment Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 4 of 1961).
		(5) The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 5 of 1961).
8th March 1961	..	} General Discussion on the Budget for the year
9th March 1961	..	
10th March 1961	..	
11th March 1961	..	
18th March 1961	..	
		1961-62.

[Mr. Chairman] [24th February 1961]

The Council will meet daily at 3 p.m. Further programme of business will be decided later.

Notice of amendments to the Madras State Housing Board Bill, 1961, should reach the Secretary before 5 p.m. on the 2nd March 1961.

III.—CALLING ATTENTION TO FAILURE OF MANagements OF AIDED PRIVATE SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN PAYMENT OF SALARIES TO TEACHERS.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, Under Rule 41 (1) of the Madras Council Rules, I request your permission to call the attention of the Hon. Minister for Finance, to a matter of urgent public importance, namely, the failure on the part of a number of managements of aided private secondary schools in the payment of salaries due to teachers for periods over six and ten months as in the case of Mandirammoorthy High School, Tirunelveli, and K. M. Kuppusami Mudaliar's Hindu High School, Arkonam, and the consequent deterioration in the morale in the standard of instruction imparted in such high schools. Since such failure on the part of managements of aided private secondary schools in the payment of salaries due to teachers tends to become frequent in a number of schools, it becomes necessary for the Government to call for higher endowments or to place such institutions in charge of the local bodies concerned.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : The suggestions contained in the notice of motion have been noted and will be taken into account while implementing the recommendations of the Education Grants Committee which are under the consideration of Government.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : It is just the other way round. The statement is usually longer than the motion. This seems to be a new procedure.

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILLS.

THE MADRAS CHIT FUNDS BILL, 1961 (L.A. BILL NO. 5 OF 1960).

*** THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN :** Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

‘That the decision on clause 22 be rescinded and the clause reopened.’

Sir, yesterday, before we rose for the day I accepted an amendment proposed by the hon. Member Sri Patanjali Sastri. On scrutiny I find that the amendment does not fit into the provisions of the Bill. I will briefly explain the position.

24th February 1961] [Sri R. Venkataraman]

If, I give an illustration it will make the legal position clear. Let us take a chit fund of Rs. 1,000, say, with the monthly instalment of Rs. 100. A person may have paid four instalments. That is he would have paid Rs. 400 and might have defaulted after that. Then a new substituted member may not be found immediately. Only one or two months later the substituted member will come in. In the interim period the chit amount has got to be paid to the other members on the monthly draw and therefore it is the practice on the part of the foreman to contribute that amount for the period when there is no substitute member. When there is a default and before a substitute member comes in the foreman usually finds the chit amount. Let us take it that for two months the foreman makes this contribution. That will make it Rs. 600. Then the substitute member comes in. He will have to pay this member in the place of the defaulting member. Out of this Rs. 600 according to sub-clause (3) of clause 21, the foreman can appropriate Rs. 200, that is, the amount which he has paid and he should deposit the balance of Rs. 400 which is the amount which has been paid towards the arrears, that is, the four month period for which the defaulting member has contributed. In order to prevent the foreman from using this Rs. 400 for other purposes, sub-clause (3) of clause 21 has provided that the Rs. 400 should be deposited in the bank. Now if the substituted subscriber draws the chit in the ninth month he will be entitled to Rs. 900. Out of this the foreman will take the contribution made by the defaulting subscriber, namely, Rs. 400, and pay it to the defaulting subscriber. He will appropriate the Rs. 200 towards his amount and the balance of Rs. 300 will be paid to the substituted member. Therefore in clause 22, we say that when the substituted subscriber draws the prize amount the defaulting subscriber shall be entitled to recover from the foreman the contribution made by him, namely, Rs. 400. (An hon. Member: Contribution means subscription.) Yes, we use the word contribution in respect of subscriptions because if we say subscription it will mean the chit instalment amount. Contribution means the instalments paid by the subscriber minus the dividends earned during that period. If the dividend has been Rs. 10 for each month then for these four months the amount which he would have contributed would be Rs. 360. Therefore the defaulting subscriber would get Rs. 360. That is why the word contribution has been used in the clause, instead of the word 'subscription'. Therefore if we say that he will get back his contribution, there is no question of the subscriber getting the arrears as suggested by the hon. Member Sri Patanjali Sastri. Therefore the words 'together with the arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber' will not fit into the clause, as we have framed it. The word 'contribution' will have the dictionary meaning, that is, the amount contributed. Therefore it is not necessary to define the word. Therefore it is, Sir, that I move that the clause be reopened and after that the clause may be discussed again and we may come to some conclusion.

[24th February 1961]

MR. CHAIRMAN : Motion moved—

“ That the decision on clause 22 be rescinded and the clause reopened ”.

* SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : Sir, now that the Hon. the Minister has sought to reopen this clause, may I take this opportunity to have the other clause also re-opened for further discussion? I am referring to the expenses clause.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : We may take it up before we take up the third reading of the Bill, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN : What is that clause?

* SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : That is the clause relating to expenses in running the chit. The Hon. Minister gave an explanation which I characterised as somewhat involved and beyond my ready comprehension. Still I am not quite convinced with his explanation. Therefore may I take this opportunity to have that clause also reopened so that there can be further discussion on both sides and he may try to convince me and I may try to convince him.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Which clause is it?

* SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : I think it is sub-clause (4) of clause 14 which says : ‘ The foreman shall not appropriate for himself any amount in excess of what he is entitled to under clauses (a) and (b) of section 13 ’. I had suggested the addition of the words ‘ and what is allowed under the chit agreement to meet the expenses of running the chit ’ to that sub-clause. The Hon. Mover explained by saying that the idea was that the commission or remuneration provided for in clause 13 (b) was expected to cover this also. I said the explanation was somewhat involved and I could not understand it easily. But anyhow I did not press my point then. But now that the Hon. Mover has sought to reopen another clause and I am still not convinced that the Hon. Mover is right as to clause 14 (4), I would request you to reopen that clause also so that there can be some further discussion covering both the clauses.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, we are now on clause 22. We will dispose of it now. After we have concluded consideration of all the remaining clauses, and before we go to the third reading stage, we may take up for reconsideration any clause which the hon. Member Sri M. Patanjali Sastri wants.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

‘ That the decision on clause 22 be rescinded and the clause reopened ’.

The motion was put and carried and clause 22 was re-opened.

24th February 1961]

Clause 22.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, yesterday in this clause, the following amendment was added :—

“ In line 4, *after* the word ‘ his contributions ’ and *before* the words ‘ subject to ’ *insert* the following :—

‘ together with the arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber ’ ”.

I have explained what is meant by clause 22. These words included in the clause by the amendment will not therefore fit in. Actually, if we put in these words, the defaulting subscriber will not only get his contribution but also the arrears of subscription realised. This will really amount to a double payment. Therefore I move for the omission of the words inserted by the amendment.

I move—

“ That in clause 22, the words ‘ together with the arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber ’ be deleted ”.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The words inserted by the acceptance of the amendment may be deleted or the original clause and the amendment proposed may be reopened and the amendment negatived.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, the correct legislative procedure would be the consideration of this clause as reopened. When it is reopened, the clause, as amended yesterday, will come up for consideration. Then I will have to move that this particular amendment, which was adopted yesterday, be deleted.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The clause, as amended, is now before the House for discussion.

* SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : Sir, even now I am somewhat puzzled by the language used. Let us first of all take up the concrete illustration given by the Hon. Minister. There is a chit consisting of ten members paying Rs. 100 each. One subscriber commits default at the end of four months. Then the substitution process takes about two months to be finished. Then the substituted subscriber comes on the scene. Then this situation arises for consideration. Now the contribution normally should be what was contributed by the defaulting subscriber, that is, the four months' subscription which he had paid. Then, the two months' subscription before the substituted subscriber came on the scene, the defaulting subscriber did not pay. That would be the arrears. Arrears cannot by any stretch of language cover what has been paid by the original subscriber. ‘ Arrears ’ properly understood according to its connotation would represent the two months' subscription, in respect of which the original subscriber committed default. So his contribution would be the first four months' contribution. Then the substituted subscriber comes on the scene, and he goes on regularly paying his instalments. There is no question of default. All arrears of subscriptions realised from the substituted subscriber, less any amount advanced by the foreman, shall

[Sri M. Patanjali Sastri] [24th February 1961]

before the date of the next succeeding instalment, be deposited by the foreman in an approved bank mentioned in the chit agreement. All arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber can only relate to the two months' subscription which the foreman is empowered to realise from the substituted subscriber. I suppose I am correct so far. We are now dealing with the language used in the illustration given. Contributions would be the amounts paid by the defaulting subscriber for the preceding four months. The foreman might have advanced some amount or might not have advanced. Sub-clause (3) of clause 21 contemplates all arrears of subscriptions being realised from the substituted subscriber. The foreman has to realise the two months' arrears from the substituted subscriber. Deducting of course the amount that he might have paid, the amount should be paid into the bank for payment to the defaulting subscriber. So the arrears for two months, given in the illustration, which the foreman recovers from the defaulting subscriber should be paid into the bank and should not be drawn by him except for payment to the defaulting subscriber. So, the two months arrears, according to the natural interpretation of the language used, should go to the defaulting subscriber. Then the substituted subscriber comes on the scene, and he pays only for the subsequent period of the chit. So in respect of the prior period, the arrears, less any amount paid by the foreman should go to the defaulting subscriber. The defaulting subscriber should get not only his contributions, that is, contributions for the four months, but also the arrears for the next two months, according to the concrete case put forward by the Hon. Minister.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : May I interrupt Sir, at this stage for a minute? The defaulting subscriber would have paid Rs. 400. The Hon. Member suggests that he must be paid Rs. 600. That will be the consequence. After two months some one gets substituted. The illustration given by the hon. Member will mean the defaulting subscriber getting Rs. 600.

* SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : I am only taking the concrete case given by the Hon. Minister and applying to it the language used in clause 22. I do not object to the change in the language. The Hon. Minister wants the expression 'arrears' to cover also the amounts actually paid by the defaulting subscriber. This will mean doing violence to the language. The subscriptions paid by him for four months will in no sense come within the meaning of the word arrears. What really amounts to arrears is that which the defaulting subscriber has not paid. That can be only before two subsequent months. If the Hon. Minister wants some other meaning to be made out of 'arrears', let him recast the whole thing and make it intelligible. But with reference to the language used, I respectfully submit that he cannot say that the expression 'arrears' is intended to cover contributions regularly made as and when they fell due by the subscriber up to

24th February 1961] [Sri M. Patanjali Sastri]

his default. Clause 21 (3) says that the amount should not be drawn by the foreman except for payment to the defaulting subscriber. I think he must be entitled to recover the amount. If the foreman is liable to pay, then the counterpart of it is that the defaulting subscriber must be entitled to recover. The right must correspond to liability. I am not now on the question of 'less any amount advanced by the foreman'. That may be subject to some adjustment. But the amount should not be drawn by the foreman except for payment to the defaulting subscriber. The amount should be deposited, less of course the adjustment to be made, in a bank. The adjustment to be made is by the defaulting subscriber and not the foreman. Otherwise this clause is meaningless. If the liability rests on the part of the foreman to pay what was realised as arrears from the substituted subscriber, the corresponding right must be conferred on the defaulting subscriber when we prescribe his right. The clause says, 'When a substituted subscriber draws the prize amount, the defaulting subscriber shall be entitled to recover from the foreman his contributions'. My amendment seeks to add the words 'together with the arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber'. That is what is sought to be added. It is perfectly open to the Hon. Minister, if it does not convey the meaning, to recast the whole thing. I do not object to it. But language cannot be twisted to convey what is in the mind of the Hon. Minister.

3-4
P-1

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: If a subscriber defaults, the substituted subscriber is asked to pay Rs. 200. It is not realised from the foreman. All arrears of subscriptions are realised from the substituted subscriber. In the meantime, the amount due is deposited by the foreman in the bank. Therefore, contribution will only mean the contributions by the defaulting subscriber.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN: Sir, suppose there are ten subscribers. Each pays Rs. 100. The chit is for Rs. 1,000. After four months, the last one defaults. He has paid Rs. 400. In the next two months he does not pay. When the substituted subscriber comes in, does he pay for all the previous six months or for only two months? Unless he pays for all the six months, he cannot get Rs. 1,000. Therefore, he should pay not for two months alone but for all the six months.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Sir, the illustration given by the hon. Member Sri T. P. Srinivasavaradan is correct. That is the practice in every chit fund. After a person has paid for three months, he defaults. Then it takes one or two months to find a substitute. During those one or two months the foreman makes the contribution and when a new member is substituted, the arrears of subscription for all the previous five or six months are collected from him. Thereafter he will go on paying for every month and at the end of the ninth month, he draws the chit. At that time he will draw Rs. 1,000. At that time, Rs. 400 is paid to the defaulting subscriber and Rs. 200 is appropriated by the foreman. That is the position and that is the practice.

[Sri R. Venkataraman] [24th February 1961]

Let us take clause 22 first. So far as that clause is concerned, there is no difficulty. It says that when a substituted subscriber draws the prize amount, the defaulting subscriber shall be entitled to recover from the foreman his contributions subject to such deductions as may be provided for in the chit agreement. We say that notwithstanding the fact that the substituted subscriber has given to the foreman the contribution for all the previous six months, the only time at which the defaulting subscriber will become eligible for the amount is not the time when the member is substituted but the time at which the substituted subscriber draws his prize. If we use the word "subscription", then it would mean that he will get the whole amount. If we use the word "contribution" in the etymological sense, he might get whatever he has paid but he will not get the benefit of the deductions in the past. For the four months he would have contributed only Rs. 360 though, in theory, adding up the deductions, he would be deemed to have contributed Rs. 400. Therefore, so far as clause 22 is concerned, there is no difficulty. The language of the clause is very clear.

Then, clause 21 (3) is intended to protect the defaulting subscriber also so that the foreman may not spend away the whole amount himself. The expression used is "All arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber". That means Rs. 600. In so far as the new man is concerned, he comes only in the sixth month.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Arrears of subscription (which have been paid) are also collected from him.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : We use the term "arrears of subscription" only with regard to the substituted subscriber. The substituted subscriber becomes a member only in the sixth month. But he is deemed to be a subscriber from the beginning. Otherwise, there is no chit fund.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : It is "notional".

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : That is why we use the word "arrears". Any other word will not cover the Rs. 400.

* SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : Clause 21 (3) will have to be recast if clause 22 is to convey the meaning which the Hon. Mover has in mind. In fact my amendment to clause 22 was prompted by the language of 21 (3).

MR. CHAIRMAN : If considered necessary, the clause may be put to the House afterwards.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : All of us are not able to understand the clause clearly.

MR. CHAIRMAN : I am only making a suggestion to the House.

24th February 1961]

* SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : Sir, when the arrears are said to be payable to the defaulting subscriber, then there is a liability on the part of the foreman to pay them over to the defaulting subscriber. The defaulting subscriber will be given the right to recover the arrears from the foreman. It is in that way that the amendment was prompted. If clause 21(3) is recast so as to make the meaning as now propounded by the Hon. Mover, then there will be no difficulty and the amendment will drop. To keep the language of clause 21 (3) as it is, is to involve the whole thing in confusion. Not only the meaning of the word 'arrears' will have to be stretched: there is the question of justification for payment to the defaulting subscriber. What the Hon. Mover means is, arrears must refer not only to the arrears in respect of which the defaulting subscriber committed default but also payments which he regularly went on making. If the latter is also described as arrears, it is doing great violence to the language.

3-50
P.M.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : I am sorry to have to intervene so many times. I want again to submit for the consideration of the House . . .

SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : I have no personal interest in having this amendment carried. I am not any more personally interested in getting my amendment passed than the Hon. Minister is in having the provision confirmed. We are interested in making things clear.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : When a man gets substituted as a subscriber, we collect from him four instalments or two instalments as the case may be, and we deem him to be a member. Therefore, it is called arrears and that is provided usually in the chit agreement. When a new member is substituted at the sixth or the seventh instalment or the fourth instalment, he will pay all the previous instalments.

SRI M. SUBBIAH CHETTIAR : We can then have it as previous instalments.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : It can be called 'previous instalments realised from the substituted subscriber'. But there is another difficulty in the language suggested. If we say 'all the previous subscriptions realised', there is this difficulty. Suppose out of six months' arrears of previous instalments, only four instalments are realised; then only that four months' collection could be deposited. That is why 'arrears' has been used in consonance with chit agreements which say that the previous instalments are treated as arrears so far as the substituted subscriber is concerned.

SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : Put it in an 'Explanation'.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, I suggest clause 22 may be adopted and so far as clause 21 (3) is concerned, only this word "arrears" gives trouble. We will take up this clause when we come to the end. I would request Mr. Patanjali Sastriar, meanwhile, to draft an amendment to clause 21 (3).

[24th February 1961]

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

“ That in clause 22, the words ‘ together with the arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber ’ be deleted.”

The amendment was put and carried.

Clause 23.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : I am not moving the amendment I have given notice of.

Clause 23 was put and carried.

Clause 24 was put and carried.

Clause 25.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The motion is—

‘ That clause 25 do stand part of the Bill.’

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, I move—

‘ In sub-clause (2), *omit* the proviso.’

The amendment was duly seconded.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, such a proviso is not found in the Travancore Act. On that account, I do not say we should not have it here. This Bill is largely modelled on the Travancore Act. Sub-clause (2) of clause 25 says :

“ If in a suit by a foreman for consolidated payment of future subscriptions from a defaulting prized subscriber, the defendant pays into court on or before the date to which the suit is posted for hearing the arrears of subscriptions till that date together with interest thereon at the rate provided for in the chit agreement or at twelve per cent per annum simple interest whichever is lower, and the costs of the suit for payment to the plaintiff, then, notwithstanding any contract to the contrary, the court shall pass a decree directing that the defendant shall deposit in court for payment to the plaintiff, the future subscriptions on or before the dates on which they fall due. . .”

But such a procedure will not be available to a person who files a suit on a promissory note unless the promissory note expressly states that the amount due is towards payment of subscriptions. That according to me is a denial of a right to the defendant. Even if the promissory note does not expressly state that, he can plead and also give evidence before the court of law that the promissory note was taken for future subscriptions. Even according to the ordinary evidence law he can do that. The circumstances under which the promissory note was given must always be proved in a court of law. Therefore I said that this provision insisting that such a statement should be made in the promissory note itself deprived him of a right which he has already got and that is not necessary. That is my submission so far as that is concerned. If

24th February 1961]

[Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar]

you make an exception in the other cases, I can understand this provision. This is only a general clause. Then why should we deny the privilege which the defendant has even in an ordinary suit? He can very well plead the circumstances under which the promissory note was executed by him. He may prove that it was for future subscription. That is why I have proposed that this proviso could be deleted.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, there are two cases; one in which the foreman himself files a suit and another where the holder, in due course, the transferee, files a suit. In the case of the foreman himself filing the suit, it may be possible for the defendant to plead that it was executed for future subscriptions. In the case of the transferee, if this fact is contained in the promissory note itself, it will be noticed by the courts that it is in respect of a chit fund transaction. Therefore this provision has also been included in the Bill. Again in the case of a chit fund promissory note the burden of proof would be on the defendant without this provision. On the other hand with this special provision, the promissory note itself will contain the fact that it is in respect of future subscriptions of the chit fund. Therefore the defendant need not have to prove by other evidence that it is in respect of the chit fund transaction. This is to protect the subscriber to the chit fund.

Sir, in my own experience in the Court of Small Causes here we have had any number of suits in which the foremen had filed suits on promissory notes containing the usual phraseology 'for value received in cash'. In these cases it had to be proved that these transactions were in respect of a chit fund in order to get the concessions from the courts that the defendants could pay the amount in instalments. Therefore it is we have provided that where a foreman takes a promissory note for future subscriptions it should be so stated in the note itself, so that the question of burden of proof could be eliminated. This will facilitate the proceedings in the court. That is why the Government are unable to accept the amendment of the hon. Member.

The amendment was, by leave, withdrawn.

Clause 25 was put and carried.

Clauses 26 to 37 were put and carried.

Clause 38.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The motion is—

'That clause 38 do stand part of the Bill.'

The hon. Member Sri Balasubramanya Ayyar may move his amendment and get it seconded.

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN : Mr. Chairman, as hon. Members have several things to say in connection with the amendments and since they have got to speak more than once on the same

[Dr. A. Chidambaranathan] [24th February 1961]

issue, may I suggest that the House do go into committee in order that those hon. Members may be enabled to speak more than once, because under Rule 71 of the Council rules a member cannot speak more than once on the same motion.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : With the permission of the Hon. Chairman, they can speak.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Rule 71 refers to the method of taking votes.

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN : I am sorry, it is Rule 74 It says—

‘Except in the exercise of a right of reply or as otherwise provided, no member shall speak more than once on any motion, provided that any member to whom a referneec has been made or against whom a reflection has been cast in the debate may, with the permission of the Chairman, make a personal explanation . . . The Chairman’s permission is applicable only where a personal explanation is sought to be offered and not otherwise. I know of no parliamentary practice where a member is allowed to speak more than once thus. According to parliamentary practice, if it is not possible to dispose of things in one speech, the whole House goes into Committee. Therefore we would rather go into Committee and discuss these things. Otherwise it is not possible . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN : Where is the provision in the rules for the House to go into Committee?

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN : Because it is a well-known parliamentary practice I suggest that the House may go into Committee. . .)

MR. CHAIRMAN : The hon. Member will please resume his seat. I am not going to follow a procedure which is not laid down under the rules. The discussion will now go on.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : I am not moving my amendment, Sir.

Clause 38 was put and carried.

Clauses 39 to 52 were put and carried.

Clause 53.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The motion is—

‘That clause 53 do stand part of the Bill.’

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

‘In sub-clause (1) add the following proviso at the end after item (g) :

“Provided that the aggregate of the fee shall not exceed one per cent of the chit amount.”

The amendment was duly seconded.

24th February 1961]

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Sir, clause 53 says—

‘ There shall be paid to the Registrar such fees as the Government may, from time to time, prescribe for—’

If I read the mind of the Hon. the Leader of the House aright he has got two aspects in mind in framing the clauses as it is. It will take some time for the Government to determine the actual fees to be paid and therefore this will naturally be provided in the Rules to be framed under the Act. Again this clause implies that the rate of fees is likely to vary from time to time according to the decisions taken by the Government. However we take it that the charge will only be a fee and it will not become a tax and that this clause will not be used to augment the resources of the Government. This is mainly intended to cover the expenses on the establishment set up to administer the law. In reply to a reference made by the hon. Member Sri Balasubramanya Ayyar about the huge staff that would be required to administer this law, the Hon. the Leader of the House was pleased to say that the existing staff would be utilised for the purpose. He said that the Registrar would be the Chief man with possibly some officers appointed to assist him in the work. He said that except for some additional staff, the work would be taken up by the Registrar who has a hierarchy of officials under him. Having said that, it stands to reason that the Government should prescribe it here itself. Instead of that, power is being taken by the Government to fix the rate. Sir, let me draw your kind attention to another aspect also. As I said at the first reading stage of the Bill, chit funds are formed and conducted for one purpose, namely, either for getting a sum for the person who is in dire need or, as the tendency has now developed, just to make some small profit, as was stated by the Hon. Mover of the Bill. Therefore, there is also a profit motive. There is also the purpose of a person who is in need getting the amount in advance instead of having to wait for a long time. The Government have prescribed a limit as far as the fee that can be charged. It is five per cent. No foreman of any chit whatever be the denomination or whatever be the amount can charge more than five per cent. When once the legislation comes into operation, within this 5 per cent he has to maintain a staff to keep the accounts and to make as many periodical visits to the various officers as possible for getting things done. He has to incur heavy expenses on staff, etc. But his income is stopped at the level of five per cent. Therefore, I feel reasonable for more than one reason, having regard to the whole aspect, that it is much better to restrict it to one per cent and also not to give the power to Government. It is not that the power will be misused by the Government. But the legislature must know as to how much fee should be charged, and that limit should not exceed one per cent, Sir.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : The Government are unable to accept the amendment.

[24th February 1961]

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : May I interrupt just for a second, Sir? The Hon. Minister may give the reasons first and then go to the operative part.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, it is left to the Minister to choose his own method of address. The word 'fee' has a definite legal connotation, and it cannot be more than what it costs Government. If it costs Government 1.5 per cent, why should the Government be deprived of the right to charge 1.5 per cent for the service? Therefore, it is not correct to say that it should not exceed 1.5 per cent. The fee will be levied to cover the cost of administration. Accordingly the percentage will be fixed. It is not possible for Government to say whether the cost of administration will exceed or will not exceed at this stage. But the actual cost of it will be covered.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : I am pressing my amendment, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

"In sub-clause (1), *add* the following proviso at the end after item (g) :—

'Provided that the aggregate of the fee shall not exceed one per cent of the chit amount.'"

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 53 was put and carried.

Clauses 54 and 55 were put and carried.

Clause 56.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The motion is—

'That clause 56 do stand part of the Bill.'

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, I move—

"In sub-clause (1), *omit* the words 'with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year or' and the words 'or with both'."

Sir, I am not moving the second part of the amendment I have given notice of.

SRI S. K. SAMBANDHAN : I second the amendment, Sir.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Sir, I move—

"In sub-clauses (1) and (3), *omit* the words 'with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year or' and 'or with both'."

SRI A. K. THANGAVEL MUDALIAR : I second the amendment, Sir.

24th February 1961]

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, this clause raises fairly an important question. In many of our legislations we have always said that a breach of any of the provisions of the Act becomes an offence, and then it is punishable with imprisonment or with fine or with both. Sometimes the fine goes up to Rs. 1,000. In some cases it is up to Rs. 2,000. And there is the imprisonment for one year or two or three years. In these chit fund transactions, there is the anxiety of people to get money. The subscriber wants that there must be a generous amount given to him for his purposes. The foreman also conducts the chit, because he will also get the prize amount, and he will also have remuneration, commission, etc. Any breach of the provisions is only for the sake of getting money. The best punishment in the circumstances is only fine according to me. If imprisonment is a greater punishment, I can understand. But I do not think that in these cases imprisonment is called for. The need for money is more for the person. One may say that one is prepared to go to jail, provided there is no money payment. Therefore, this is a psychological factor. This is general psychology, which I want to mention. In chit fund transactions, where the more important thing is getting money, fine is the more deterrent punishment. According to me, the highest punishment will be fine. Therefore let us have fine only and no imprisonment. In cases where conscience is involved, it becomes a matter of pride to go to jail. We are not now on that matter. Now I am generally talking about going to jail. It is a qualification where conscience is involved or patriotic acts are involved. I am not underestimating it here. What I am saying is that imprisonment in this case is less deterrent than fine. If we fine the foreman, then he will not commit any breach of the provisions of the Act. He knows that he has to pay more money by way of fine. That will have to be taken from the amounts that he wants to collect out of the chit. Therefore it is that I say that imprisonment is not necessary and that it may be deleted. Fine alone may remain. That is the substance of my amendment.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Sir, my task has been simplified by the previous speaker Sri Balasubramanya Ayyar. It has become a fashion with the Government always to insert a clause like this saying that a heavy fine or a heavy term of imprisonment can be imposed for breaches of the provisions of the Act. The Mover of the Bill will take shelter in the plea that after all imprisonment is only an alternative punishment. Sir, this is purely a social measure, and we are going to experiment it for the first time as far as our State is concerned. If it is a case of fraud, people will say, 'This man has done it intentionally, and so he deserves to be punished'. There is the procedure to haul him up. Let me draw the attention of the Leader of the House to another aspect. Whether he accepts it or not, let him be convinced that there is another point of view. This is purely a social measure. According to this Bill, a limit of Rs. 100 is fixed. Now one would agree that many illiterate people either because they do not know the law that has been passed or because they are

[Sri Mohamed Raza Khan] [24th February 1961]

ignorant, may start a chit of Rs. 125. Then he or she comes under the penal provisions of this Bill. This is a technical offence, and he will be hauled up and taken to the court. For the more technical offence of having started a chit of Rs. 125, he or she will be hauled up before a Magistrate. The law provides for fine or imprisonment. In an ordinary social measure like this, we need not provide for imprisonment, unless by experience we find that people are trying to violate the provisions of the Act. After all, the Government are powerful with a good and comfortable majority. They can come before the House at any time and say that in the light of experience, the provision of imprisonment has become necessary. They can say, 'Here is the record. We have seen so much contravention of the law. Fine is not enough. There should be a heavier punishment'. Then they will be on firmer ground. I strongly feel that imprisonment is really out of place, and that it is unnecessary. But I leave the matter at that.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, the clause provides for alternative punishment. It says 'either imprisonment or fine or both'. Discretion is given to the Magistrate. Therefore, in appropriate cases, the appropriate sentence would be awarded. If the case is of a serious nature, then the court will give a sentence of imprisonment. If the case is for a minor offence, the court may let the party off with a warning. The Government are not punishing anybody. The Government are not passing the sentence. Therefore, there is no harm in providing for imprisonment in cases of grave offence. I may also mention that from the report of the Select Committee itself we will find that some of the Members of the Select Committee wanted that imprisonment should be made obligatory. (Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar : No.) The general impression was that offences were committed by a number of foremen and that therefore there should be a deterrent punishment, and also imprisonment should be made obligatory. It is only because the Government did not want to impose any particular type of punishment we left it to the court to decide what punishment should be given in respect of what offence. This is a perfectly proper clause, and it may be accepted.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : I am pressing my amendment, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

"In sub-clauses (1) and (3), omit the words 'with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year or' and 'or with both'".

The amendment was put and lost.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : I am pressing my amendment, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

"In sub-clause (1), omit the words 'with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year or' and the words 'or with both'".

24th February 1961]

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 56 was put and carried.

Clauses 57 and 58 were put and carried.

Clause 59.

4-20
p.m.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The motion is—

“ That clause 59 do stand part of the Bill.”

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, I move :

“ In sub-clause (1), add the following at the end :—

‘ except for the offence punishable under sub-section (3) of section 56 ’.”

Clause 56 (3) says—

“ Whoever in any document required by, or for purposes of, any of the provisions of this Act wilfully makes a statement false in any material particular knowing it to be false, shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year or with fine which may extend to five hundred rupees or with both.”

If a man wilfully makes a statement false in any material particular, then he is liable for imprisonment. But if he compounds the offence, imprisonment as a deterrent ceases to exist. Therefore, according to me, an exception should be made in cases where a man deliberately makes a false statement. Hence, according to me too, there is necessity for imprisonment. If a person is punished under clause 56 (3), then that offence should not be allowed to be compounded. It is a serious moral default. A man deliberately making a false statement in a document should not be allowed to compound the offence notwithstanding anything contained in the Code of Criminal Procedure. Therefore, I am moving this amendment.

The amendment was duly seconded.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : My inclinations are the same as those of the hon. Member Sri Balasubramanya Ayyar. In fact, when we insisted on imprisonment being provided as one of the alternative modes of punishment, we intended that imprisonment should be awarded in cases involving moral turpitude. Then, as I said, these changes have got to be made to suit the general will of the Joint Select Committee. They felt that even in those cases, if the offence could be compounded, it should be allowed to be compounded and that in all cases he need not be prosecuted. Therefore, I am not very enthusiastic about this clause. But it was included largely at the instance of the Members of the Joint Select Committee who thought that the opportunity to compound these offences should be given because people might sometimes without sufficient knowledge and education make a mistake. Therefore, I am willing to have the whole clause deleted. Let the man

[Sri R. Venkataraman] [24th February 1961]

who commits an offence be punished. I am perfectly willing to have the clause deleted. If the hon. Member would vote against clause 59, Government would accept that position.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : I would oppose the whole clause.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Sir, certain offences are major and certain other offences are minor. It is stated in the clause, "any officer, may at any time compound any offence". It is not obligatory on the part of the officer empowered to compound the offence. If the offence is very serious, he may refuse to compound. It may be specifically stated that major offences should not be compounded. In the absence of such a provision, as the hon. Member Sri Balasubramanya Ayyar states, the clause may cover any offence.

MR. CHAIRMAN : What is the hon. Member speaking on? The Minister's reply is over.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, before the amendment is put to vote, we may have a general discussion on the clause itself. The hon. Member Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar said that he would oppose the whole clause. In that case, we may take the general opinion of the House.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : There may be minor offences. No doubt, in the case of major offences, the offender should not be let off. But in the case of minor offences, is there going to be a provision for compounding? If everything has to go to the court, then it will be an irksome affair.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : The clause is about compounding of offences.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Offences under clause 56.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Not filling a chit agreement is a serious offence. For such serious offences a deterrent punishment should be given. But I want to make a distinction between major and minor offences. Is there any provision for compounding of minor offences?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, I want to make the position of Government clear. In the first instance, Government really did not want any provision for compounding. But when a number of Members suggested to the Government that these small offences might be disposed of without being taken to court by making a provision for compounding, Government accepted that view. But, on principle, I quite see that an offence is an offence and may be punished as such. Therefore, if the hon.

24th February 1961] [Sri R. Venkataraman]

Member Sri Balasubramanya Ayyar opposes this clause, Government will not be against it. Government are not against dropping this clause so that all offences may be dealt with in a court of law.

MR. CHAIRMAN : I shall put the amendment to vote. The question is—

“ In sub-clause (1), add the following at the end :—

‘ except for the offence punishable under sub-section (3) of section 56’.”

The amendment was put and lost.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

“ That clause 59 do stand part of the Bill. ”

The motion was put and lost, and the clause was negatived.

Clauses 60 to 63 were put and carried.

Clause 64 :

MR. CHAIRMAN : The motion is—

“ That clause 64 do stand part of the Bill.”

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, I move :

“ (1) In sub-clause (3) (a), after the word ‘ shall ’ and before the words ‘ be published ’, insert the word ‘ first ’.”

4-30
p.m.

“ (2) In sub-clause (3) (a), in line 2, after the word ‘ and ’ and before the word ‘ unless ’ insert the words ‘ after hearing any representation that may be made in respect thereof shall be published again in the *Fort St. George Gazette* with modifications, if any’.”

The amendment was duly seconded.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : My experience is, after we have discussed all the clauses and passed a Bill, nobody ever cares to know when the rules are placed on the table of the House. Hitherto nobody ever cared about the rules placed on the table of the House and nobody ever looked into them or proposed amendments thereto. Even the Sales Tax Rules and several such rules which were canvassed by courts of law were generally taken for granted and approved. I must point out one thing. So far as the rules under this enactment are concerned, we have for the first time a Chit Fund Bill with a provision that different provisions of the Bill would apply to different places on notification. So much of caution the Government have taken for the purpose of enforcement of the provisions. The Government are prepared to proceed cautiously. It is in that background that I say that the rules shall be published and then, after hearing representations made by people interested in them, finally decided upon. After final approval, they may be published again and upon such publication placed before the two Houses of the Legislature for adoption. For the purpose of this Bill, let us, therefore, take one further step, viz., let the rules be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* for eliciting public opinion and then finally settled. Of course, the Government are not bound to accept the views expressed by the public.

[Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar] [24th February 1961]

But let them at least consider them. The Government have heard representations in regard to the provisions of the Bill. A number of people are anxious that the rules also should be published and their reaction called for. Therefore, I move my amendment.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : In further support of the amendment moved by my esteemed Friend, I wish to say that it would be a very good thing to accept the amendment, because I understand, even at the time when evidence was taken by the Joint Select Committee some representation was made to the Hon. Minister in particular that when the rules were framed under the Act, those interested in the legislation should be given an opportunity to express their views thereon. Therefore, the Government can accept the amendment.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, sub-clause (3) of this clause is based on the model sub-clause suggested by the Committee on Subordinate Legislation and we have adopted it as a uniform rule in all pieces of legislation. In the past, we used to have only one provision, viz., that there should be prior publication of rules and that on such publication, the rules would become effective. There was no provision for the Legislature to make any modifications in the rules. We have changed that procedure and said that the rules will be framed and placed on the tables of both Houses of the Legislature for approval with modification or without. So, we cannot have both. Either we have previous publication and finalisation or we have the rules placed on the table of the House. Otherwise, it will lead to cumbersome and additional work. Therefore the model clause which has been suggested by the Committee on Subordinate Legislation and embodied in this Bill may be accepted.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, I am not pressing my amendment.

The amendment was, by leave, withdrawn.

Clause 64 was put and carried.

Clause 65 was put and carried.

Clause 66 :

MR. CHAIRMAN : The motion is—

“ That clause 66 do stand part of the Bill ”.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Sir, I move :

“ For the existing item (2), substitute the following :

‘ (2) any chit the chit amount of which does not exceed five hundred rupees and where more than one chit is started or conducted simultaneously by the same foreman, every chit the chit amount of which does not exceed five hundred rupees ’.”

The amendment was duly seconded.

24th February 1961]

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Sir, it was said at the time when the report of the Joint Select Committee was presented to us that the Government were fixing a limit of Rs. 100. It means that chits which are for Rs. 100 or less will not come within the purview of the Act. If the chit amount is above Rs. 100, then it will come within its purview. I have drawn the attention of the House to two factors the other day. One is this. Chits are conducted by well-organised companies and firms which have taken them up as a means of business and the people have sufficient confidence in them. The other factor is, what happens in actual practice. Chits are conducted by private individuals also. In fact, we have seen a lot of trouble arising from such chits conducted even in our homes. Such chits run to even 1,000 rupees. Therefore, if we keep this limit of 100 rupees, it is not fair. In terms of money value, 100 rupees have not got much value in the present day. This limit is too low and it must be raised to 500 rupees at least. This figure is very reasonable. I am not levelling any charge against the Mover of the Bill in this connection. Being a very capable man, he has always two sets of arguments; one set he uses on one occasion and the other set on another occasion. I felt discretion was the better part of valour and therefore kept quiet when he said that though he was personally opposed to compounding he allowed the provision to remain in the Bill since the Joint Select Committee wanted it. I am glad that the Hon. Minister agreed to the decision of this House to drop the clause relating to compounding. But my point is this. While in this case he said he allowed the provision to remain because the joint Select Committee insisted on it, on a former occasion, when the Accommodation and Rent Control Bill was brought in, even though the Joint Select Committee wanted that control over business houses should go, he threw aside that recommendation and moved an amendment to that effect in the other House. You cannot have different arguments on different occasions. However, the Hon. Minister is very considerate now and I leave the matter at that. My good Friend, Mr. Seshachariar is not here now. He has taken the place of our old Friend, Mr. T. Purushotham, who was the official spokesman of the Government, and he is trying to minimise the work of the Government. Yesterday, he said, 'why not have it as 25 per cent?'. His opinion is that if a man is needy, why should he be prevented from bidding as much as he wants. Sir, my point is, there is no use of having an Act which can be violated every day. That creates a very bad impression among the public. During the first reading of the Bill, I drew the attention of the Hon. Minister to two very important Bills—the Beedi Industry Bill and Money-lenders Bill which were passed long ago. They have not been given effect to. Of course, about the Beedi Industry Act, the Hon. Minister is on very firm ground. We know the Bill was passed into law with the best of intentions and it is slowly given effect to. But what is the fate of the Money Lenders' Act which the Government brought?

[24th February 1961]

MR. CHAIRMAN : What is it that the hon. Member is talking on? Is it on the amendment or on something else?

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Sir, I am comparing, and I am on my arguments (Laughter). My argument is that they brought in the Money Lenders' Act and said that only a reasonable interest of 12 per cent should be charged. But what is it that is actually happening today? Thousands and thousands of transactions are taking place throughout the State and people are forced to pay more. My point is, I do not want that there should be a farce of an Act. When the Government bring in an Act they should take into consideration the reality of the situation also. If the Government impose a limit of Rs. 100 on these chit transactions for exemption, irrespective of legislation and irrespective of the penalty that may be imposed, people will surely evade the law. Practically now every family is conducting a chit of more than Rs. 100. Does it mean that after the legislation is passed your officers would enter each house and get information and prosecute all these hundreds and hundreds of people? Also it would be a very difficult thing to do. Again some 12 people may join together and conduct a chit. They may keep no records of the transactions. The thing is done on confidence. What is to happen in such cases? The main point of my argument is this. I am in entire agreement with the principles of the legislation, I agree that the measure is a social measure and I know the Hon. the Leader of the House wants to prevent fraud, wants to safeguard the rights and interests of the subscribers. But still it will mean if this Act is passed, though it may not affect the existing chit funds, in future all the chits above Rs. 100 will come under the provisions of the Act. Therefore I feel the limit should not be one hundred rupees but should be Rs. 500 as suggested in my amendment.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, my hon. Friend Sri Raza Khan has gone over the whole ground again. Either we want regulation or we do not want it. If we want regulation, then we must regulate all those chit funds which are really over small dimensions. The dimension of Rs. 100 chit funds was considered to be small and all the others were not considered to be small. Sir, this is a matter in which any number of opinions can be held. Sixty different members can have sixty different opinions whether Rs. 100 should be the limit or Rs. 1,000 should be the limit. We took the consensus of opinion in the Joint Select Committee and finally said that chit funds over Rs. 100 should not be exempted from the operation of this legislation. There were some members in the Committee who insisted that it should be a higher amount. But then the Government thought and many other members also felt that in that case the control or regulation will not be effective. If it is to be effective then we must control all the chit funds which are over Rs. 100 in value. Therefore I am unable to accept the amendment moved by the hon. Member.

24th February 1961] [Sri R. Venkataraman]

Sir, I may add one word by way of personal explanation. The hon. Member seems to be under the impression that the Beedi Act is not enforced. I have told him a number of times that it is enforced in parts and that was also the intention of the Government. The first part which is now enforced is registration. After everybody has registered the other part will be enforced. If the hon. Member wants that the provisions should be enforced all at once, then it would throw the whole industry which is disorganised into confusion. Merely because some members taunt the Government, they are not going to be persuaded to create confusion in an industry or in a particular field. Therefore I think this criticism is totally unfounded. My submission is, it is not possible to exempt chit funds of more than Rs. 100 in value from the operation of the Act.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN: On a point of personal explanation. Sir, I am sorry the Hon. the Leader of the House has misunderstood me. In regard to the phased enforcement of the Beedi Act I am in entire agreement with him. We know there are difficulties and the Government are right in going slowly and gradually. The other point I raised was that while there is an Act known as the Money Lenders' Act, there is a good deal of evasion. The Act is all right but the actual working of it has resulted in very many difficulties. High rates of interests are still being charged. Similarly even though we may pass this Act with a limit of Rs. 100 there will be a good deal of evasion. That is not good for us; that is not good even for the State Government and the Legislature. It is no good passing a legislation which will in actual working be violated by many. The Government will find it ultimately difficult to enforce the provisions. I am sorry to say I have not misunderstood the Hon. the Leader of the House but he has misunderstood me.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is—

'For the existing item (2), substitute the following:

"(2) any chit the chit amount of which does not exceed five hundred rupees and where more than one chit is started or conducted simultaneously by the same foreman, every chit the chit amount of which does not exceed five hundred rupees".'

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 66 was put and carried.

Clauses 67 to 70 were put and carried.

Clause 1 and the Preamble were put and carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Are we now going back to clause 21 (3).

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Yes, Sir. The only expression which has created a certain amount of difficulty of interpretation is the expression 'arrears of subscription realised from the substituted subscriber.' There was a doubt as to what

[Sri R. Venkataraman] [24th February 1961]

that expression meant. Government therefore proposed to add an explanation to sub-clause (3) of clause 21 and the explanation will read thus :

“ Explanation :—For the purpose of sub-section (3), arrears of subscription shall mean all the previous instalments realised from the substituted subscriber ”.

This will mean according to the illustration which I gave that if the substituted subscriber comes in at the sixth month, he would be obliged to pay the Rs. 600 and out of that according to sub-clause (3) Rs. 400 would be paid into the bank and the Rs. 200 advanced by the foreman would be appropriated by him. At the time the substituted subscriber draws the prize in the ninth month, then at that stage the amount will be paid over to the other person. This will be moved as a Government amendment and therefore I move :

“ That clause 21 be reopened ”.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is :

“ That clause 21 be reopened ”.

The motion was put and carried and clause 21 was reopened.

Clause 21.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move :

“ After sub-clause (3) of clause 21 add the following Explanation.

“ EXPLANATION : For the purpose of sub-section (3), arrears of subscription shall mean all the previous instalments realised from the substituted subscriber.”

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is :

“ After sub-clause (3) of clause 21 add the following Explanation :

“ Explanation : For the purpose of sub-section (3), arrears of subscription shall mean all the previous instalments realised from the substituted subscriber.”

The amendment was put and carried.

Clause 21 as amended, was put and carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The Hon. the Leader of the House may move the motion.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : I thought the Hon. Member Sri Patanjali Sastri would like to reopen clause 14.

SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : Mr. Chairman, Sir, referring to the other clause relating to the recoveries by the foreman my difficulty was with reference to the phrase “ the expenses of the

[24th February 1961] [Sri M. Patanjali Sastri]

foreman". That phrase is separately mentioned in more than one place, in two places really, but the prohibition clause prohibits him from receiving it. Therefore I thought there was a contradiction here. The Hon. the Mover of the Bill explained that the Commission that is referred to in clause 13 (b) was intended to cover the expenses also. But I feel that commission cannot cover it. It seems to be plain from the other provisions because there the expenses are separately mentioned. 'Discount' is defined as including the sum set apart to meet the expenses of running the chit. The expression 'expenses of running the chit' is separately mentioned (The Hon. Sri R. Venkataraman : Or for both). The discount will cover all, but the definition of discount contemplates the agreement setting apart something to cover the expenses of running the chit. In sub-clause (7) of clause 5 also it is stated :—

4-50
p.m.

"the mode and proportion in which the discount is distributable by way of dividend, foreman's commission and other expenses, if any".

Here again it is separately mentioned. If commission were intended to cover the other expenses of running the chit also, then this would be redundant. Both in the definition of discount and in clause 5 (7), the expenses of running the chit have been separately mentioned as something to which the foreman would be entitled. It is also easily conceivable. Clause 12 says that the foreman shall execute an indenture of mortgage and trust in favour of the Registrar. Suppose he wants to give security to the extent of Rs. 1,000. The discount is five per cent of the chit, which comes to Rs. 50. How is he expected to execute an indenture of mortgage? Suppose he gives property security. Then there is the stamp duty on mortgage. For a thousand rupees, it will nearly come to Rs. 15. There is the whole scale of fees mentioned in clause 53, to which the Hon. Member Mr. Raza Khan referred. It is stated in clause 53 that there shall be paid to the Registrar such fees as the Government may, from time to time, prescribe for (a) the registration of the bye-laws of a chit under section 3, (b) the grant of a certificate of commencement under section 7 (c) filing with the Registrar of the Chit agreement and copies of documents under sections 11, 20, 21, 29 and 32 and then the audit of the accounts of the foreman and the issue of an audit certificate, etc. Then there is the inspection charge of Rs. 5. All these amounts aggregate to a large figure. Five per cent of the chit amount, which in our illustration of a Rs. 1,000 chit, comes to Rs. 50. It is conceivable that all this would amount to Rs. 50 if not more, and there is very little left by way of remuneration or commission. That is the language used in the other clause of the Bill. Therefore, according to the language again, if commission is intended to cover all this, it must be made explicit. On the other hand, it seems to me by a reading of the language of the provisions of the Bill that it is explicitly the other way about, that is to say, the expenses have to be paid to him in addition to the commission or remuneration. That was the point of my amendment, moved

[Sri M. Patanjali Sastri] [24th February 1961]

yesterday. But the Hon. Mover explained by some process that this word commission or remuneration was intended to cover the expenses also. The language is inappropriate. Remuneration must be something which he should have in addition to the expenses he is called upon to incur. If commission is intended to cover all the expenses to be incurred by him, then it will practically swallow up, if not exceed, the five per cent. (Interruption.) He may be running one chit or half a dozen chits. The language of the Bill must be applicable to the case of a person who runs only one chit. It is irrelevant to say that if a foreman runs half a dozen chits, and if all of them are taken together, his expenses will be covered. We must make the language applicable to a foreman who runs only one chit. We are not concerned with others. We speak on only one chit run by one foreman, and the language must fit in in his case.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, if I explain the position, then the Hon. Member may not ask for reopening that clause.

SRI M. PATANJALI SASTRI : That is the difficulty I felt yesterday, and still I feel so.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, this matter was considered at great length by the Joint Select Committee. We also heard the evidence of a number of foremen. Actually the Hon. Member Sri Srinivasavaradan reminded me that they were all satisfied with this five per cent commission to meet their expenses. Some said that even three per cent would be enough. Some others said that the expenses of people running smaller chits would be greater, and therefore it should be five per cent. That is why we thought that five per cent commission would be enough. But the language to which reference was made by Sri Patanjali Sastri is necessitated by having to cover two types of chits, that is, chits in which a person does not take commission, and others in which he takes commission. Where he does not take commission, only his expenses have to be provided for. That we have to provide for under the term "discount". "Discount" would mean either the expenses or the commission or both. That is why, we have defined discount to cover two types of chits, that is, chits in which only expenses will be taken by some persons and chits in which commission would be taken and they themselves will meet the expenses, or they may have even both. My submission is that it is unnecessary to increase the five per cent commission, and therefore it is not necessary to reopen that clause.

Mr. Chairman, before I go to the third reading stage of the Bill, as a result of the deletion of clause 59, I move—

“ That clause 60 to 70 be renumbered as clauses 59 to 69 ”.

24th February 1961]

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is :

“ That clauses 60 to 70 be renumbered as clauses 59 to 69 ”.

The motion was put and carried.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN. Mr. Chairman, I move—

“ That the Madras Chit Funds Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 5 of 1960), as amended be passed ”.

Sir, in making this motion, I am not unaware of the good deal of difficulty which the Government had to face in enacting almost a new piece of legislation. The legislation which is *in pari materia* with the Travancore-Cochin Act, is very different. In that Act there are certain provisions which are strict and stringent. Certain other provisions were covered by legislative Acts and rules and it was very difficult to copy or follow the Kerala Act in framing a new Act to suit our circumstances and also to provide for various possible difficulties in running chit funds. The Government had to make a number of concessions all round. Ultimately, the Bill as it has emerged, I submit to the House, has met the views of the largest section of all people concerned in this. Both the foremen and the large body of subscribers are satisfied that this Bill will go a long way to protect the interests of both sides. The foremen who were very nervous about this legislation and who thought that their trade would be stifled have, after all, found that the Bill, as it emerged from the Select Committee, would enable them to carry on their business, which they have been carrying on for ages, with considerable latitude. At the same time, subscribers have been given adequate protection in the various clauses. Therefore, I submit that this Bill as to a large extent met the needs of both the subscribers and the foremen and that in actual working it is bound to prove beneficial to the community as a whole. I move that the Bill, as amended, be passed.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Motion moved—

“ That the Madras Chit Funds Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 5 5 p.m. of 1960), as amended, be passed ”.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, originally I thought that the procedure adopted in regard to this Bill would have a deterrent effect on the running of chit funds. I made enquiries and found that even after the Act was passed in Kerala State, there had been a large number of chit funds and that they were still continuing. Therefore, I feel that this legislation will not have that deterrent effect on the conduct of chits. Once we accept

[Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar] [24th February 1961]

that position, it is also felt necessary that the State should regulate a large number of transactions which are taking place under the nomenclature of "chit funds". I understand that even banks in many places and companies conduct chits. When such is the case, we will have to welcome a Bill of this sort.

At the same time, on account of the fact that the chit transaction is based largely upon contracts between the foreman and a large number of subscribers, we have to be very careful to see that the contract sways the whole transaction and there is no interference by Courts and by State. Therefore, a *via media* must be found between these two things. So far as this Bill is concerned, we have a fairly satisfactory *via media*. Therefore, on the whole, I welcome this Bill and support its passage.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is—

"That the Madras Chit Funds Bill, 1961 (L.A. Bill No. 5 of 1960), as amended, be passed".

The motion was put and carried and the Bill, as amended, was passed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The House will now adjourn and meet again at 3 p.m. to-morrow.

The House then adjourned.

V.—PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

*208. Notification issued with G.O. Ms. No. 3765, Home, dated 21st November 1960, regarding amendment to the rules for the management of Special Homes and Work Houses in the City of Madras, 1955.

* 209. Notification regarding the powers delegated to the Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, North Arcot district.

** 210. Notification issued with G.O. Ms. No. 4162, Home, dated 15th December 1960 regarding amendment to the rules published with Home Department Notification No. 1074, dated 25th August 1938 in the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 13th September 1938 under the Madras Borstal Schools Act, 1925 (Madras Act V of 1926).

** 211. Notification issued with G.O. Ms. No. 4005, Home, dated 7th December 1960 regarding rules for the collection of Court-fees refunded in cases where the orders of remand are set aside.

24th February 1951]


** 212. *Short review of the activities of the office of the Registrar of Firms and the Labour Department for the first half-year, 1960.*

** 213. *Notification issued with G.O. Ms. No. 4931, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Co-operation), dated 26th October 1960 regarding amendment to Rule XXVII (2) (f) of the Rules made under the Madras Co-operative Societies Act, 1932, (Madras Act VI of 1932).*

* Sent by post to all M.L.Cs. on 13th February 1961.

** Laid on the Table of the House on 18th February 1961.





INDEX TO MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL DEBATES

NINETEENTH SESSION OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

20th, 21st, 23rd to 25th, 30th and 31st January and
18th, 23rd and 24th February 1961.

Volume XL (Nos. 1 to 10).

	PAGES
A	
Abdul Hameed Khan, Sri—	
Bill—Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961	257
Governor's Address, discussion on	93-95
Resolution <i>re</i> linguistic minorities, educational facilities and repre- sentation in services for	223-224
Accidents—	
Question <i>re</i> number of — caused by lorries and motor-cars in Madras City in August and September 1960	165
Agricultural Income-tax Rules, 1955—	
Amendments to —, notifications laid on the table	8, 14
Agricultural Department—	
Review of activities of — for the first half of fasli year 1369, laid on the table	10
Animal Husbandry Department—	
Review of activities of — for half-year ending 30th September 1959, laid on the table	10
Animal slaughter—	
Question <i>re</i> number of animals certified for slaughter in Madras City during January-March 1960	204-205
Annamalai Pillai, Sri N.—	
Governor's Address, discussion on	67-70
Appropriation Bill, 1961—	
<i>See</i> Bills.	
Arkonam Municipality—	
Inclusion of certain areas of North Arcot District Board in —, notification laid on the table	13
Assurances—	
Statement XXVII showing action taken on — given from X to XVIII Sessions of the Council, laid on the table	18

A—cont.

Assurances Committee—

See Committees.

B

Balasubramanya Ayyar, Sri K.—

Bills—

Chit Funds Bill, 1961	297-300
	343-344, 345, 351, 352
	353, 355-356, 362, 263
	364, 365, 366, 367, 368
	369, 389, 390, 392-393
	397, 399, 401-402
	409-410

Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	250-251
---	---------

Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961.	250-257
	258

State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961	260-263
--	---------

Teacher Protection Bill, 1960	214-216
-------------------------------	---------

Governor's Address, discussion on	88-93
-----------------------------------	-------

Resolution <i>re</i> linguistic minorities, educational facilities and representation in services for	224-225
---	---------

Basic Training—

Question <i>re</i> stipends to students undergoing	380
--	-----

Beedi Industrial Premises (Regulation of Conditions of Work) Act, 1958—

Appointment of Inspectors under —, notification laid on the table.	9
--	---

Exemption from certain sections of —, notification laid on the table	309
--	-----

Bhaktavatsalam, the Hon. Sri M.—

Bills—

Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	249, 250
	253-254, 255

Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961.	255-256
	258, 259

Ehima Rao, Sri B.—

Expression of sorrow <i>re</i> demise of —, former Member of the Council	2
--	---

Bhoo dan Yagna Board, State—

Proceedings of Chairman, — appointing Members and Chairman of the Local Committee for Madurai district, laid on the table	16
---	----

Bills—

Appropriation Bill, 1961—

Considered and passed	208-209
-----------------------	---------

Message from the Assembly <i>re</i>	208
-------------------------------------	-----

Message from the Governor <i>re</i>	208
-------------------------------------	-----

B—cont.

Bills—cont.

Chit Funds Bill, 1961—	
Considered and passed	293-307 341, 384-410
Message from the Assembly <i>re</i>	289
Message from the Governor <i>re</i>	283
Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960—	
Considered and passed	249-253
Message from the Assembly <i>re</i>	80
District Development Councils (Amendment) Bill, 1961—	
Introduced	219
Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961—	
Considered and passed	255-259
Message from the Assembly <i>re</i>	247
General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1961—	
Considered and passed	267-270
Message from the Assembly <i>re</i>	248
Housing Board Bill, 1960—	
Assembly's Select Committee Report on —, laid on the table ..	310
State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961—	
Considered and passed	259-267
Message from the Assembly <i>re</i>	247
Teacher Protection Bill, 1960—	
Considered and withdrawn	209-218
Bills, as passed by the Assembly, laid on the table—	
Chit Funds Bill, 1961	310
Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	150
Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961 ..	270
General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1961	270
State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961	270
'Bleeding Madras'—	
Fall in prices, Minister's attention called to	243-249
Board of Revenue—	
Question <i>re</i> —	
Abolition of post of Fourth Member	116-117
Combination of posts of Member,—and Secretary, Finance Department	158-159
Borstal Schools Act, 1925—	
Amendment to rules under —, notification laid on the table ..	410
Bridges—	
Question <i>re</i> —	
Construction of — across the Paravanar and the Gadilam ..	283
Overbridge at railway level-crossing near Tambaram, construc- tion of	284

B—cont.

Business Advisory Committee—

See Committees.

Business of the House—

Question hour dispensed with on 20th January 1961	2
Transaction of Government business on a non-official day (18th February 1961)	293

C

Calendar and Diary—

Question <i>re</i> indication of Tamil year and months in—printed by Government	243-244
---	---------

Cars—

Question <i>re</i> import of—for use of Ministers during 1959-60 ..	373-374
---	---------

Catering Establishments Act, 1958—

Amendment to notification published at pages 529 and 530 of Part I of <i>Fort St. George Gazette</i> , dated 8th April 1959, notification laid on the table	15
Coming into force of—in certain areas, notification laid on the table	16
Exemption of “Gramodyog Canteen” from—, notification laid on the table	310
Exemption of hostels and canteens run by educational and research institutions from—, notification laid on the table	15
Exemption of Virudhunagar Railway Vegetarian Refreshment Room, Virudhunagar, from—, notification laid on the table ..	14

Chairman, Mr.—

Announcement *re*—

Business Advisory Committee's decision	26, 289 383
--	----------------

Message from the Assembly *re*—

Appropriation Bill, 1961	203
Chit Funds Bill, 1961	289
Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	80
Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961.	247
General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1961	247
State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961	247

Message from the Governor *re*—

Appropriation Bill, 1961	203
Chit Funds Bill, 1961	283
Motion of thanks for his Address to the Legislature ..	247
Panel of Vice-Chairmen, nomination of	26
Visit of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II and His Royal Highness Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, to Rajaji Hall	239-290

Bills—

Chit Funds Bill, 1961	357
Business Advisory Committee and Assurances Committee, nomination of members to	340
Condolence Resolution <i>re</i> death of Kuppuswamy Mudaliar, Sri A., Member of the Council	1, 3

C—cont,

Chairman, Mr.—cont.

Expression of sorrow re—

Demise of Bhima Rao, Sri B., and Kuppaswami Choudary, Sri J.,
former Members of the Council 2

Demise of Narayana Menon, Sri M., former Member of the
Council 115

New Year Greetings 1

State Library Committee, election of a member to 27

State Library Committee, election of Clubwala Jadhav, Srimathi
Mary C., to 166

See Rulings and Observations of the Chair.

Chidambaranathan, Dr. A.

Bill—Chit Funds Bill, 1961 393-394

Children Rules, 1940—

Amendments to—, notification laid on the table 12

Chit Funds Bill, 1961—

See Bills.

Chloral Hydrate (Chloral) Rules, 1959—

Amendments to—, notification laid on the table 9

Cinchona Department—

Review of activities of— for half year ending 30th September 1959,
laid on the table 10

Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960—

See Bills.

Cinemas (Regulation) Rules, 1957—

Amendments to—, notification laid on the table 7, 8, 13

City Municipal Act, 1919—

Amendment to sub-rule (1) of rule 12 of Schedule V to 292-293

City Tenants Protection Act, 1921—

Extension of— to Srivilliputhur Town, notification laid on the
table 113

Clubwala Jadhav, Srimathi Mary C.—

Election of— to the State Library Committee 166

Collector(s)—

Question re number of— transferred from Chingleput district since
January 1957 and reasons for transfer 163-164

College(s)—

Question re—

Arts—for women in Salem, starting of 21

Oriental Colleges, number and names of—as on 1st April 1960. 117-118

Strength of B.T.—run by Government 159-160

197

Committee on Subordinate Legislation—

See Committees.

C—cont.

Committees—

Assurances Committee, replies received in regard to assurances in Statements appended to reports, laid on the table	113
Business Advisory Committee and Assurances Committee, nomination of members to	340
Business Advisory Committee, decision of	26, 289 383
Committee on the Subordinate Legislation of the Assembly, Tenth Report, laid on the table	309

Question re—

Legal Terms Committee, submission of report of	78-79
--	-------

State Library Committee—

Election of Clubwala Jadhav, Srimathi Mary C., to	166
Election of one Member to	26-27

Condolence Resolution re—

Death of Kuppuswamy Mudaliar, Sri A., Member of the Council ..	1-2
--	-----

Conference—

Question re State Agriculture Ministers'—held in Delhi in August 1960, decisions of	121
---	-----

Co-operative Societies Act, 1932—

Amendment to rules under—, laid on the table	9, 10 411
Amendments to rules published at pages 1178-1185 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 1st August 1933, notification laid on the table	16

Court-fees—

Rules for collection of—refunded in cases where the orders of remand are set aside, notification laid on the table	410
--	-----

Cultivating Tenants (Payment of Fair Rent) Act, 1956—

Constitution of Tahsildar, Shencottah taluk, and District Munsif, Shencottah, as Rent Court and Rent Tribunal respectively, notification laid on the table	7
--	---

D

Dairying and Milk Supply Department—

Review of activities of— for the half-year ending 30th September 1959, laid on the table	10
--	----

Denatured Spirit, Methyl Alcohol and Varnish (French Polish) Rules, 1959—

Amendments to—, notification laid on the table	10
--	----

Dhobikhanas—

Question re—

Central assistance for construction of	381-382
Loans to Municipalities for construction of— during 1960-61 ..	382-383

Director of Handlooms—

Review of activities of office of— for half-year ending 31st December 1959, laid on the table	9
---	---

D—cont.

District Development Councils—	
Amendment to rules for payment of travelling allowance to Members of— and their Standing Committees, notification laid on the table	11
Procedure <i>re</i> transaction of business and conduct of meetings of—, notification laid on the table	37
District Development Councils (Amendment) Bill, 1961—	
See Bills.	
District Magistrate, South Arcot—	
Question <i>re</i> practising of medicine by	160-162
Dogs—	
Question <i>re</i> levy of tax on— and destruction of stray dogs	242-243
E	
Elections—	
Question <i>re</i> legislation prohibiting students from participating in election campaign	238-240
Electricity—	
Question <i>re</i> violation of restriction of power load in Coimbatore	244-245
Electricity Board (State)—	
Administration Report for 1958-59, laid on the table	309
Question <i>re</i> appointment of person debarred from Government service in	245-246
Elizabeth II, Her Majesty Queen—	
Visit of— and Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, to Rajaji Hall	289-290
Employees' Insurance Courts Rules, 1951—	
Amendments to—, notifications laid on the table	13
Enteric Fever—	
Question <i>re</i> causes of outbreak of— in Madras City and preventive measures taken	237-238
Entertainments tax—	
Exemption of benefit film shows conducted by Madras Secretariat Association from—, notification laid on the table	370
Exemption of benefit film shows in aid of Flag Day Fund from—, notification laid on the table	370
Exemption of feature length documentary films on Folk dances of India entitled "Dhartiki Jhankar" from—, notification laid on the table	13
Exemption of film shows connected with Yugoslav Film Festival in Madras from—, notification laid on the table	12
Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948—	
Amendment to rules under section 67, notification laid on the table	9
Fixation of time-limit for applications under section 19-A, notification laid on the table	9

E—cont.

Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948—cont.	
Notification under section 4 (1) of —, laid on the table	233
Notification under section 1, laid on the table	270
Notification <i>re</i> date of coming into force laid on the table—	
Inam estates in Hosur and Krishnagiri taluks, Sodalavayal inam estate and Kodukaravanal Inam estate	7
Kallurani Inam estate	7
Kallamandaiyendal Inam estate and Madurabhashinipuram and Nayinankulam Inam estates	6
Lakshminarayanapuram inam estate and Bungalow thottam ..	15
Manivilan II and Makaliyendal inam estates	6
Marukovil inam estate	6
Ethirajalu, Sri M.—	
Bill—Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	251-253
Governor's Address, discussion on	58-63
Resolution <i>re</i> assignment of lands to Harijans	229-233
Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961—	
<i>See</i> Bills.	
Examinations—	
Question <i>re</i> —	
S.S.L.C. Examination held in March 1959, amount of fee collected and remuneration paid to Examiners	203-204
S.S.L.C. Examinations held in March 1959 and 1960, number of candidates declared eligible for University course at	202-203
Expression of sorrow <i>re</i>—	
Demise of Bhima Rao, Sri B., and Kuppaswami Choudary, Sri J., former Members of the Council	2
F	
Factories Rules, 1950—	
Amendments to —, notifications laid on the table	14, 16 113, 309
Factories (Welfare Officers) Rules, 1953—	
Amendment to rules 4 and 6, notification laid on the table	8, 16
Fertilizer Plant, Avadi—	
Question <i>re</i> inspection of — by Public Health authorities	240
Fisheries Department—	
Review of activities of — for the half-year ending 30th September 1959, laid on the table	10
Fishermen—	
Question <i>re</i> harassment of Verkot — by Ceylon Police	338-339
Forest Department—	
Review of activities of — for the half-year ending 30th September 1959, laid on the table	10

G

Gajapathy Nayagar, Sri A.—

Governor's Address, discussion on 110-112

General Sales Tax Act, 1959—

Notification under—, laid on the table .. . 370

General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1961—

See Bills.

General Sales Tax Rules, 1959—

Amendments to—, notifications laid on the table .. . 17, 150
270

Government Museum and Art Gallery, Madras—

Review of activities of — for half-year ending 30th September 1960,
laid on the table .. . 15

Government Museum, Pudukkottai—

Review of activities of — for half-year ending 30th September 1960,
laid on the table .. . 15

Government servants—

See Housing.

Governor's Address—

Discussion on .. . 27-70

80-112

123-149

166-196

Laid on the table .. . 17

Message from the Governor *re* motion of thanks for .. . 247

Groundnut—

Question *re* groundnut crops in Chingleput district, measures adopted
to deal with pest attack .. . 119-120

H

Handloom Delegation—

Question *re* Report of — to the Far East .. . 333

Harijan Welfare Department—

Review of activities of — for half-year ended 30th September 1959,
laid on the table .. . 14

Harihans—

Resolution *re* assignment of lands to .. . 229-233

Heads of Departments—

Question *re* reasons for re-employment of — during 1959-60 .. . 22-23

Hindi Pandits—

See Teachers.

Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1959—

Exemption of Sri Valmikinathaswamy temple, Cheyyar, from contri-
bution and audit fees due to the Commissioner, notification laid
on the table .. . 12

Redistribution of jurisdiction of Area Committees of Kanchee-
puram and Madras Divisions, notification laid on the table .. . 12

H—cont.

Housing—

Question re—

Houses constructed for Government servants, information re. 77-78

Houses for dhobis, fishermen and barbers during 1960-61, construction of 287-288

See "Teachers".

Housing Board Bill, 1960—

See Bills.

I

Ice plants—

Question re inspection of — for manufacture of ice-cream, by Public Health staff 24-25

Inams (Assessment) Rules, 1957—

Amendment to —, laid on the table 196

Indian Nationals—

Question re death of — on the seashore of Ceylon during August 1960 333

Industrial Disputes Rules, 1958—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table 6, 15

Industrial Establishments (National and Festival Holidays) Act, 1953—

Appointment of inspectors under —, notification laid on the table 113

Industrial estates—

Question re setting up of functional — for manufacture of leather goods 334

Industrial Investment Corporation, Limited, Madras—

Exclusion from the scope of Money Lenders Act, 1957, notification laid on the table 5

Question re loan advanced to the Uma Printers and Uma Magazine, Madras 283

Industries—

Question re caustic soda industry, starting of — in Kovalam 279

Industries and Commerce Department—

Review of activities of — for half-year ended 30th September 1959, laid on the table 14

Influenza—

Question re prevalence of — in Madras City in September-October 1960 336

J

Jeep—

Question re missing of a Government — on 31st August 1960 207-208

Jothi Vencatachellum, Srimathi—

Governor's Address, discussion on 85-88

Nomination of — to the Panel of Vice-Chairmen 26

J—cont.

Judges—

Question *re*—

Procedure for appointment of High Court	76
Supreme Court—Sri E. V. Ramaswamy Naicker's statement <i>re</i> appointment of	201-202

K

Kanyakumari district—

Question <i>re</i> campaign in — for retransfer to Kerala	157-158
---	---------

Khadi and Village Industries Board Rules, 1960—

Issue of —, notification laid on the table	10
--	----

King Institute, Guindy—

Question <i>re</i> sterile solutions manufactured and sold during half-year ended 30th June 1959 by —, information <i>re</i>	370-380
---	---------

Kosalram, Sri K. T.—

Governor's Address, discussion on	27 28-37
Supplementary Statement of Expenditure for 1960-61 (Second), discussion on	187-190

Krishnamoorthy, Sri G.—

Bill — Teacher Protection Bill, 1960	209-213 217-218
Governor's Address, discussion on	63-67
Supplementary Statement of Expenditure for 1960-61 (Second), discussion on	190-192

Kundah Project—

Question <i>re</i> expenditure on inauguration of	339-340
---	---------

Kuppuswami Choudary, Sri J.—

Expression of sorrow <i>re</i> demise of —, former Member of the Coun- cil	2
---	---

Kuppuswamy Mudaliar, Sri A.—

Condolence Resolution <i>re</i> death of —, Member of the Council	1, 2
---	------

Kurichi Municipality—

Abolition of —, notification laid on the table	9
--	---

L

Labour Department—

Short review of the activities of —, laid on the table	411
--	-----

Lakshmanaswami Mudaliar, Dr. A.—

Condolence Resolution <i>re</i> death of Kuppuswamy Mudaliar, Sri A., Member of the Council	2
Governor's Address, discussion on	137-148
Motion to dispense with question-hour	3

Land Ceiling Bill—

Question <i>re</i> complaint against Tahsildar, Tiruvellore, and action taken thereon	122-123
--	---------

Land Improvement Board—

Constitution of — under Land Improvement Scheme, notification laid on the table	370
--	-----

L—cont.

Lands—	
Resolution <i>re</i> assignment of lands to Harijans	229-233
Language Pandits—	
See Teachers.	
Legislative Council—	
House Committee of Madras—, statement <i>re</i> action taken on resolutions till 31st March 1960	9
Linguistic minorities—	
Resolution <i>re</i> educational facilities and representation in services for	219-229
Safeguards to—, decisions of the Zonal Ministerial Committee as approved by Southern Zonal Council, paper laid on the table ..	113
Liquor (Licence and Permit) Rules, 1960—	
Issue of—, notification laid on the table	13
Liquor Permit (Personal Consumption) Rules, 1956—	
Relaxation of conditions 1 and 4 of Form 'C' in favour of Sri Josef Nejedly, Coimbatore, notification laid on the table	15
Relaxation of rule 6 in favour of Mr. G. Graf, Trade Commissioner for France in Madras, notification laid on the table	15
Lourdhammal Simon, the Hon. Srimathi—	
City Municipal Act, 1919, amendment to sub-rule (1) of rule 12 of Schedule V to—	292-293
Damage caused by monsoon to fishermen's huts and steps to rehabilitate them, statement <i>re</i>	290-292
"Lovers' Path"—	
Question <i>re</i> changing name of—in Madras City	78
M	
Manickavelu, the Hon. Sri M. A.—	
Governor's Address, discussion on	166-171
Market(s)—	
Question <i>re</i> Municipal—, Arni, rent of stalls in	337-338
Maternity Benefit Rules, 1935—	
Amendment to—, notification laid on the table	6
Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
"Bleeding Madras", calling attention to fall in prices	248-249
Damage caused by monsoon to fishermen's huts, calling attention to	290-292
Teachers in aided private secondary schools, calling attention to non-payment of salaries to	384
M.B.B.S. Course—	
Question <i>re</i> admission to—, interview by Director of Medical Services in July 1960	337
Message from the Assembly and the Governor—	
See Bills.	
Message from the Governor <i>re</i>—	
Motion of thanks for his Address to the Legislature	247

M—cont.

Minimum Wages (Madras) Rules, 1953—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table	11
---	----

Ministers—

See Cars.

Mohamed Raza Khan, Sri—

Bills—

Chit Funds Bill, 1961	300-303
	341-343, 346, 349
	351, 354, 355-357
	394-398, 402-405

Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	251
Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961.	257-258
General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1961	268-269
State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961	264-265
Governor's Address, discussion on	37-45
	53, 69, 171

Resolution <i>re</i> linguistic minorities, educational facilities and representation in services for	219-223
	229

Supplementary Statement of Expenditure for 1960-61 (Second), discussion on	182-186
--	---------

Walk-out by Members of the Opposition protesting against unfair treatment of members during visit of Queen Elizabeth II	333
---	-----

Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table	6, 9, 10
	309

Motor Vehicles Tax—

Cancellation of exemption of tax in respect of 1956 Willys Jeep, Station Wagon, of the Co-operative for American Remittances Everywhere, Inc., notification laid on the table	113
---	-----

Cancellation of Home Department Notification No. 440, dated 5th May 1955, relating to Staff Car MSP 2225, notification laid on the table	11
--	----

Exemption of certain vehicles of the United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund from —, notifications laid on the table	11, 14
	16

Exemption of Ford Zephyr Saloon Car No. 206-E 231827 from —, notification laid on the table	9
---	---

Exemption of motor vehicle of the office of the Trade Commissioner for France to South India at Madras from —, notification laid on the table	14
---	----

Exemption of Station Wagon MSX 9113 of Co-operative for American Relief from —, notification laid on the table	71
--	----

Rate of — on Propaganda Van MSZ 4533 of Dakshin Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha, notification laid on the table	70
--	----

M—ont.**Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1931—**

Notification under —, laid on the table	370
---	-----

Music teachers—

See Teachers.

Muthukannappan, Vidwan T.—

Damage caused by monsoon to fishermen's huts, calling Minister's attention to	290
Governor's Address, discussion on	99-102
Supplementary Statement of Expenditure for 1960-61 (Second), discussion on	192-193

N**Nallaswami, Sri B. K.—**

Governor's Address, discussion	46-49
Nomination of — to the Panel of Vice-Chairmen	26

Narayana Menon, Sri M.—

Expression of sorrow <i>re</i> demise of —, former Member of the Council	115
--	-----

Natarajan, Sri S.—**Bills—**

State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961	263-264
Teacher Protection Bill, 1960	213-214
Governor's Address, discussion on	54-58
Nomination of — to the Panel of Vice-Chairmen	26

National Employment Service—

Review of activities of —, for half-year ending 31st December 1959	9
--	---

O**Official Language—**

Report of Committee of Parliament on — (Tamil Version), laid on the table	309
---	-----

Official Language Act—

Introduction of Tamil in Revenue, Agriculture and Public Works (Irrigation) Departments, notification <i>re</i> amendment to previous notification laid on the table	7
--	---

Open Places (Prevention of Disfigurement) Act, 1959—

Question <i>re</i> number of prosecutions under	284-285
---	---------

Open Places (Prevention of Disfigurement) Rules, 1959—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table	12
---	----

Oriental Colleges—

See Colleges.

P

Panchayat Development—

Guide Book on—in Madras State, laid on the table	309
Panchayat Union Councils (Election of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen) Rules, 1960—	
Issued, notification laid on the table	13

Panchayats—

Ambalapattu and Thandani panchayats, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	14
Ambalapattu North panchayat, notification by Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	10
Appointment of Personal Assistant to Collector of the Nilgiris for supervision of operations of panchayats, notification laid on the table	8
Certain—in Thanjavur, Mannargudi, Pattukottai, Orathanad and Nannilam taluks in Thanjavur district, notification by the Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	113
Delegation of powers of appointment of Panchayat Union Commissioners to Additional Development Commissioner, Madras, notification laid on the table	16
Fixation of 2nd October 1960 for constitution of Panchayat Union Councils	9
Fixing time-limit for intimating consent by a Member of Parliament or State Legislature to serve on a District Development Council, notification laid on the table	10
Kodavasal Panchayat, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards including Arasoor village within—laid on the table	8
Kolakkudi panchayat, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Thanjavur district, laid on the table	17
Manakkal—, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	310
Manamelkudi and Kannugakudy panchayats, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	11
Notification <i>re</i> constitution of Panchayat Unions laid on the table—	
East and West Ramanathapuram, North and South Salem, East and West Thanjavur, North and South Tiruchirappalli, North and South Tirunelveli Development districts	6, 7, 12
Kariapatti and Tiruvadanai Development Blocks	10
North and South Vellore, North and South Cuddalore, Chingleput, East and West Coimbatore, North and South Madurai and Nilgiris Development districts	11
North Cuddalore, North Madurai, South Salem, South Tiruchirappalli, North Tirunelveli Development districts	10
Tiruvadanai and Kariapatti Blocks	5
West Ramanathapuram Development district	7
Panchanathikulam East Panchayat, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Thanjavur district, laid on the table	8

P—cont.

Panchayats—cont.

Panchayats in Ramanathapuram and North Arcot districts, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	309
Question <i>re</i> Poonamallee—, electricity failure in— during January-March 1960	164
Ramanathapuram and North Arcot districts, notifications by Regional Inspectors of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	12
Veeramasampatti, Solagampatti, Thumbai, Aradivelur and Saranbattu panchayats, notification by Regional Inspectors of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, laid on the table	13
Veerappanchatram panchayat, notified for appointment of a whole-time Executive Officer, notification laid on the table	11
Withdrawal of powers from Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards and delegation to Collectors, notification laid on the table	8
Pandits' Training Course—	
Question <i>re</i> number of II-A Vidwans selected during 1959-60 for ..	118-119
Panel of Vice-Chairmen—	
Announcement <i>re</i> nomination of—	26
Papers laid on the table of the House—	
Agricultural Income-tax Rules, 1955, amendments to—(G.Os. Ms. No. 330, Revenue, dated 30th August 1960, No. 3330, dated 25th August 1960 and No. 4443, dated 31st October 1960)	8, 14
Agriculture Department, review of activities for the first half of fasli year 1369	10
Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Milk Supply, Fisheries, Forest, Cinchona and Statistics Departments, review of activities for half-year ending 30th September 1959	10
Arkonam Municipality, inclusion of certain areas of North Arcot District Board in—(G.O. Ms. No. 1753, L.A., dated 26th October 1960)	13
Assurances Committee Reports, replies received in regard to assurances in Statements appended to	113
Assurances given from X to XVIII Sessions of the Council, Statement XXVII showing action taken on	15
Beedi Industrial Premises (Regulation of Conditions of Work) Act, 1958—	
Appointment of Inspectors under—[G.O. Ms. No. 3876, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 8th August 1960]	9
Question <i>re</i> exemption from certain sections of—[G.O. Ms. No. 5710, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 10th December 1960]	309
Bhoodan Yagna Board, proceedings of Chairman, appointing Members and Chairman of the Local Committee for Madurai district	16
Bill—Housing Board Bill, 1960, Assembly's Select Committee Report on	310
Bills as passed by the Assembly—	
Chit Funds Bill, 1961	310
Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	150

P—cont.

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

Bills as passed by the Assembly—cont.

Evacuee Interest (Separation) Madras Supplementary Bill, 1961.	279
General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1961	279
State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961	279
Borstal Schools Act, 1925, amendment to rules under —(G.O. Ms. No. 4162, Home, dated 15th December 1960)	410
Catering Establishments Act, 1958—	
Amendment to notification published at pages 529 and 530 of Part I of the <i>Fort St. George Gazette</i> , dated 8th April 1959 [G.O. Ms. No. 5181, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 8th November 1960]	15
Coming into force of—in certain areas [G.O. Ms. No. 4726, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 11th October 1960]	16
Exemption of Gramodyog Canteen from—G.O. Ms. No. 5729, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 12th December 1960	310
Exemption of hostels and canteens run by educational and research institutions from—G.O. Ms. No. 4921, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 25th October 1960	15
Exemption of Virudhunagar Railway Vegetarian Refreshment Room, Virudhunagar, from—G.O. Ms. No. 4135, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 29th August 1960.	14
Children Rules, 1940, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 1568, Home, dated 27th May 1960	12
Chloral Hydrate (Chloral) Rules, 1959, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 2449, Home, dated 18th August 1960	9
Cinemas (Regulation) Rules, 1957, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 2269, Home, dated 3rd August 1960, No. 2443, dated 17th August 1960, No. 2693, dated 5th September 1960 and No. 3366, dated 24th October 1960	7, 8, 13
City Tenants' Protection Act, 1921, extension to Srivilliputhur town —G.O. Ms. No. 3535, Revenue, dated 7th September 1960	113
Committee on Subordinate Legislation of the Assembly, tenth report.	309
Co-operative Societies Act, 1932—	
Amendments to rules published at pages 1178–1185 of Part I of the <i>Fort St. George Gazette</i> , dated 1st August 1933—G.O. Ms. No. 3554, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Co-operation), dated 19th July 1960	16
Amendments to rules under—G.Os. Ms. No. 3722, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Co-operation), dated 28th July 1960, No. 3789, dated 2nd August 1960 and No. 4931, dated 26th October 1960	9, 10, 411
Court-fees, rules for collection of—refunded in cases where orders of remand are set aside—G.O. Ms. No. 4045, Home, dated 7th December 1960	410
Cultivating Tenants (Payment of Fair Rent) Act, 1956, constitution of Tahsildar, Shencottah taluk, and District Munsif, Shenkottah, as Rent Court and Rent Tribunal, respectively—G.O. Ms. No. 3103, Revenue, dated 5th August 1960	7
Denatured Spirit, Methyl Alcohol and Varnish (French Polish) Rules, 1959, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 3166, Home, dated 11th December 1960	10

P—cont.

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

District Development Councils and their Standing Committees, amendments to rules for payment of travelling allowance to members—G.O. No. 1703, L.A., dated 12th October 1960	11
District Development Councils, procedure <i>re</i> transaction of business and conduct of meetings—G.O. Ms. No. 1875, L.A., dated 30th November 1960	370
Electricity Board (State), administration report for 1958–59 ..	309
Employees' Insurance Courts Rules, 1951, amendment to—G.Os. Ms. No. 3803, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 2nd August 1960 and No. 4374, dated 17th September 1960 ..	13
Entertainments tax—	
Exemption of benefit film show conducted by Madras Secretariat Association from—G.O. R. No. 965, Revenue, dated 29th November 1960	370
Exemption of benefit film shows in aid of Flag Day Fund from—G.O. Ms. No. 921, Revenue, dated 16th November 1960 ..	370
Exemption of film shows connected with Yugoslav Film Festival in Madras from—G.O. No. 721, Revenue, dated 29th August 1960	12
Exemption of shows of feature length documentary films on folk dances of India entitled "Dhartiki Jhankar" from—G.O. R. No. 761, Revenue, dated 9th September 1960	13
Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948—	
Amendment to rules under section 67—G.O. No. 2427, Revenue, dated 30th May 1960	6
Date of coming into force in—	
Inam estates in Hosur and Krishnagiri taluks, Sodalavayal inam estate and Kodukavanal inam estate	7
Kallurani inam estate	7
Kollamandaiyendal inam estate and Madurabhashinipuram and Nayinargulam inam estates—G.Os. Ms. Nos. 2635 and 2636, Revenue, dated 16th June 1960 and No. 2636, dated 15th June 1960	6
Lakshminarasimhapuram inam estate and Bungalow thottam—G.Os. Ms. No. 3946, Revenue, dated 4th October 1960 and No. 3927, dated 3rd October 1960	15
Manivilan II and Makaliyendal inam estates	6
Marukovil inam estate	6
Fixation of time-limit for applications under section 19-A—G.O. No. 2935, Revenue, dated 20th July 1960	9
Notification under—G.O. Ms. No. 4955, Revenue, dated 3rd December 1960	233
Notification under section 1—G.O. No. 4329, Revenue, dated 25th October 1960	270
Factories Rules, 1950, amendments to—G.Os. Ms. Nos. 3741, 3742 3744, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 29th July 1960, No. 3771, dated 1st August 1960 and Nos. 3860, 3861 and 3862, dated 5th August 1960	14, 16 113, 309
Factories (Welfare Officers) Rules, 1953, amendments to—G.Os. Ms. No. 3275, Industries, Labour and Co-operation, dated 4th July 1960 and No. 4276, dated 7th September 1960	8, 16

P—cont.

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

General Sales Tax Act, 1959, notification under—G.O. No. 4889, Revenue, dated 29th November 1960	370
General Sales Tax Rules, 1959, amendments to—G.Os. No. 3759, Revenue, dated 19th September 1960, No. 3813, dated 22nd September 1960 and No. 4534, dated 7th November 1960 ..	17
	150, 270
Government Museum and National Art Gallery at Madras and Government Museum, Pudukkottai, review of activities for half-year ending 30th September 1960	15
Governor's Address to the Legislature on 19th January 1961 ..	17
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1959—	
Exemption of Sri Valmikinathaswamy temple, Cheyyar, from contribution and audit fees due to the Commissioner—G.O. Ms. No. 3842, Revenue, dated 24th September 1960	12
Redistribution of jurisdiction of Area Committees of Kancheepuram and Madras Divisions—G.O. Ms. No. 3422, Revenue, dated 1st September 1960	12
Home Department Notification No. 772, dated 7th September 1954 and Notification No. 323, dated 26th March 1955, cancellation and amendment respectively—G.O. Ms. No. 4121, Home, dated 13th December 1960	310
Home Department Notification S.R.O. No. A-7583 of 1959, dated 2nd December 1959, amendment to—G.O. Ms. No. 3013, Home, dated 27th September 1960	12
House Committee of the Madras Legislative Council, statement <i>re</i> action taken on resolutions till 31st March 1960	9
Inams (Assessment) Rules, 1957, amendment to—G.O. Ms. No. 4919, Revenue, dated 30th November 1960	196
Industrial Disputes Rules, 1958, amendments to—G.Os. Ms. No. 3684, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 26th July 1960 and No. 4615, dated 5th October 1960	6, 15
Industrial Establishments (National and Festival Holidays) Act, 1958, appointment of inspectors under—G.O. Ms. No. 4938, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 26th October 1960	113
Industrial Investment Corporation, Limited, Madras, exclusion from the scope of Money-lenders Act, 1957—G.O. Ms. No. 3423, Industries, Labour and Co-operation, dated 13th July 1960	5
Khadi and Village Industries Board Rules, 1960, issue of—G.O. Ms. No. 2782, Food and Agriculture, dated 11th August 1960	10
Kurichi Municipality, abolition of—G.O. Ms. No. 1430, L.A., dated 24th August 1960	9
Land Improvement Board, constitution of—G.O. Ms. No. 3946, Food and Agriculture, dated 2nd December 1960	370
Linguistic minorities, decisions of Zonal Ministerial Committee as approved by Southern Zonal Council <i>re</i> safeguards to—G.O. Ms. No. 1281, Public (Partition)	113
Liquor (Licence and Permit) Rules, 1960, issue of—G.O. Ms. No. 2462, Home, dated 18th August 1960	13
Liquor Permit (Personal Consumption) Rules, 1956—	
Relaxation of conditions 1 and 4 of Form 'C' in favour of Sri Josef Nejedly, Coimbatore—(G.O. Ms. No. 3161, Home, dated 10th October 1960)	15

P—cont.

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

Liquor Permit (Personal Consumption) Rules, 1956—cont.	
Relaxation of rule 6 in favour of Mr. G. Graf, Trade Commissioner for France in Madras—G.O. Ms. No. 2998, Home, dated 27th September 1960	15
Maternity Benefit Rules, 1935, amendment to—G.O. Ms. No. 3764, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 30th July 1960	6
Minimum Wages (Madras) Rules, 1953, amendments to—G.O. No. 1284, Industries, Labour and Co-operation (Labour), dated 11th March 1960	11
Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940, amendments to—G.Os. Ms. No. 1980, Home, dated 7th July 1960, No. 2192, dated 26th July 1960, No. 2540, dated 24th August 1960, No. 2631, dated 30th August 1960 and No. 3341, dated 22nd October 1960	6, 9, 10 309
Motor vehicles tax—	
Cancellation of Home Department Notification No. 440, dated 5th May 1955, relating to Staff car MSP 2225—G.O. Ms. No. 2321, Home, dated 8th August 1960	11
Cancellation of tax exemption in respect of 1956 Willys Jeep, station wagon of Co-operative for American Remittances Everywhere Inc.—G.O. Ms. No. 3943, Home, dated 1st December 1960	113
Exemption of certain vehicles of the United Nations Children's Emergency Fund used in Community Development areas in Madras State from—G.Os. Ms. No. 2951, Home, dated 23rd September 1960, No. 3324, dated 11th October 1960 and No. 3325, dated 21st October 1960	11, 14, 16
Exemption of Ford Zaphyr Saloon Car No. 206-E 231327 from—G.O. Ms. No. 2722, Home, dated 7th September 1960	9
Exemption of motor vehicle of the office of the Trade Commissioner for France in South India at Madras from—G.O. Ms. No. 3256, Home, dated 15th October 1960	14
Exemption of station wagon MSX 9113 of Co-operative for American Relief from—G.O. Ms. No. 3944, Home, dated 1st December 1960	71
Rate of — on propaganda van MSZ 4533 of Dakshina Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha—G.O. Ms. No. 3950, Home, dated 2nd December 1960	70
Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1931, notification under—G.O. Ms. No. 105, Home, dated 6th January 1961	370
Notification S.R.O. No. A-3030, dated 21st April 1960, cancellation of—G.O. No. 3884, Revenue, dated 27th September 1960	11
Notifications issued with G.Os. Ms. No. 104, Public (General-M), dated 11th January 1958 and No. 695, dated 27th April 1960, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 1565, Public (General-M), dated 6th October 1960	10
Office of the Registrar of Firms and Labour Department—Short review for first half-year, 1960	411
Official Language Act, introduction of Tamil in Revenue, Agriculture and Public Works (Irrigation) Departments, amendment to notification—G.O. Ms. No. 792, Public (General-M), dated 11th May 1960 and Memorandum No. 3241/60-2, Public (General-M), dated 11th May 1960	7
Open Places (Prevention of Disfigurement) Rules, 1959, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 3150, Home, dated 8th October 1960	12

P—cont.

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

Panchayat Development, guide book on—	309
Panchayat Union Councils (Election of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen) Rules, 1960, issued—G.O. Ms. No. 1611, L.A., dated 27th September 1960	13
Panchayats—	
Ambalapattu and Thandam Panchayats, notifications by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards	14
Ambalapattu North Panchayat, Orathanad taluk, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Thanjavur district, <i>re</i>	10
Appointment of Personal Assistant to Collector of the Nilgiris for supervision of operations of panchayats—G.O. Ms. No. 1532, L.A., dated 15th September 1960	8
Constitution of Panchayat Unions in—	
East and West Ramanathapuram, North and South Salem, East and West Thanjavur, North and South Tiruchirappalli, North and South Tirunelveli development districts	6, 7, 12
Kariapatti and Tiruvadanai Development Blocks	10
North Cuddalore, North Madurai, South Salem, South Tiruchirappalli, North Tirunelveli development districts	10
North and South Vellore, North and South Cuddalore, Chingleput, East and West Coimbatore, North and South Madurai and Nilgiris development districts	11
Tiruvadanai and Kariapatti Blocks	5
Various development districts	7
West Ramanathapuram development district	6, 7
Delegation of powers of appointment of Panchayat Union Commissioners to Additional Development Commissioner, Madras—G.O. No. 1056, Rural Development and Local Administration, dated 15th December 1960	16
Fixation of 2nd October 1960 for constitution of Panchayat Union Councils—G.O. No. 1553, L.A., dated 17th September 1960	9
Fixing time-limit for intimating consent by a Member of Parliament or State Legislature to serve on a District Development Council—G.O. No. 1545, L.A., dated 17th September 1960	10
Kodavasal Panchayat, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards including Arasur village within	8
Kolakkudi Panchayat, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Thanjavur district	17
Manakkal Panchayat, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards	310
Manamelkudi and Kannugakudy Panchayats, notifications by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards	11
Panchanathikulam East Panchayat, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, Thanjavur district	8
Panchayats in Ramanathapuram and North Arcot districts, notification by Regional Inspectors of Municipal Councils and Local Boards	12, 309
Panchayats in Thanjavur, Mannargudi, Pattukkottai, Orathanad and Nannilam taluks in Thanjavur district, notification by Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards	113

P—cont.

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

Panchayats—cont.

Veeramasampatti, Solagampatti, Thumbai, Aradivelur and Seranbattu Panchayats, notifications by Regional Inspectors of Municipal Councils and Local Boards	13
Veerappanchatram Panchayat, notified for appointment of a whole-time Executive Officer—G.O. No. 1586, L.A., dated 22nd September 1960	11
Withdrawal of powers from Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards and delegation to Collectors—G.O. Ms. No. 1277, L.A., dated 28th July 1960	8
Public Health Act, 1939, specification of certain industries as cottage industries under—G.O. Ms. No. 1744, L.A., dated 24th October 1960	10
Public Service Commission, inclusion of certain temporary posts in Industries and General Subordinate Services within the purview of—G.O. Ms. No. 1189, Public (Services-A), dated 25th July 1960	8
Rectified Spirit Rules, 1959, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 3206, Home, dated 12th October 1960	14
Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, North Arcot, powers delegated to—, notification re	410
Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Director of Handlooms and National Employment Service, review of activities of offices for half-year ending 31st December 1959	9
Report of Committee of Parliament on Official Language (Tamil version)	309
Restriction of Habitual Offenders Rules, 1949, amendment to rule 28—G.O. Ms. No. 2444, Home, dated 17th August 1960	8
Rules for management of Special Homes and Work-houses in the City of Madras, 1955, amendments to—G.Os. Ms. No. 2038, Home, dated 13th July 1960, No. 3142, dated 8th October 1960, No. 3439, dated 27th October 1960 and No. 3765, dated 21st November 1960	11, 13 310, 410
Rules published with Home Department Notification No. 1074, dated 25th August 1938, amendment to—G.O. Ms. No. 3482, Home, dated 1st November 1960	15
Sales of Motor Spirit Taxation Rules, 1939, amendments to—G.Os. Ms. No. 2804, Revenue, dated 4th July 1960 and No. 3644, dated 14th September 1960	8, 12
Sales tax—	
Exemption of dealers in green tea leaves from—G.O. No. 5199, Revenue, dated 17th December 1960	310
Exemption of midday meals in schools and Harijan hostels from—G.O. No. 4046, Revenue, dated 12th October 1960	12
Omission of item 48 in First Schedule to General Sales Tax Act, 1959—G.O. No. 4150, Revenue, dated 17th October 1960	14
Reduction of—on electrical goods sold to electrical undertakings in Madras State—G.O. No. 3890, Revenue, dated 27th September 1960	13
Sanitary Engineering Branch, administration report on Rural Water-supply Scheme for the half-year 1958-59	11
Spirituous Essences Rules, 1959, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 3205, Home, dated 12th October 1960	13

P—cont.

Papers laid on the table of the House—cont.

State Transport Department, Industries and Commerce Department and Harijan Welfare Department, review of activities for half-year ended 30th September 1959 14

State Warehousing Corporation, balance-sheet and profit and loss account as on 31st March 1960 and audit report 14

Tiruchirappalli Kaieruvaram and Mattuvaram Rules, 1959, amendments to—G.O. Ms. No. 2847, Revenue, dated 13th July 1960 .. 5

Patanjali Sastri, Sri M.—

Bill—Chit Funds Bill, 1961 344-346
 348, 350, 351
 352-353, 354
 357-358, 359
 360, 362, 363-364
 366-367, 368-369
 386-391. 406-408

Pathways—

See Roads and Pathways.

Pay and Accounts Office—

Question re time-limit for disposal of bills tendered 121

Pesticides—

Question re supply at half the cost for protection of groundnut crop in Chingleput and North Arcot districts 241-242

Plague—

Question re outbreak of—in Hosur taluk in November 1960 .. 286-287

Police—

Question re recruitment of women 162-163

Postal forms—

Question re printing of—in use in Madras State in English and Tamil 206

Powerlooms—

Question re introduction of—in Madras State 375-378

Press comments—

Question re action to be taken on—on administrative matters .. 20

Price list(s)—

Question re display of—by shop-keepers 284

Public Health Act, 1939—

Specification of certain industries as cottage industries under —, notification laid on the table 16

Public Service Commission—

Inclusion of certain temporary posts in Industries and General Subordinate Services within the purview of—, notification laid on the table

P—cont

Public services—

Question re—

District Magistrate, South Arcot, practising of medicine by ..	160-162
Re-employment of Heads of Departments during 1959-60, reasons for ..	22-23

Pupil-teacher ratio—

Question re relaxation of—in aided elementary schools ..	77
--	----

Q

Question hour—

See Business of the House.

Questions and Answers—

Ethirajalu, Sri M.—

Question re—

Dhobikhanas, assistance from Centre for construction of ..	381-382
Dhobikhanas, loans granted to Municipalities during 1960-61.	382-383
Houses constructed for Government servants, information re ..	77-78
Houses of dhobis, fishermen and barbers, construction of— during 1960-61 ..	287-288

Gajapathy Nayagar, Sri A.—

Question re—

Artesian and exploratory tubewells sunk in South Arcot in 1960, information re ..	205-206
Shifting of headquarters from Manur to Tiruvalangadu in Tiruttani taluk ..	164
Wells, proposal for digging more—in Tiruvalangadu area ..	122

Krishnamoorthy, Sri G.—

Question re—

Aided elementary schools, conditions for opening of ..	121-122
Hindi pandits under Thanjavur District Board, reduction in emoluments of ..	206
House construction advances, extension of benefit to non-Government teachers ..	380-381
Music teachers in secondary schools, minimum qualifications for.	378-379
Pandits' Training Course, number of II-A Vidwans selected during 1959-60 ..	118-119
Pupil-teacher ratio in aided elementary schools, relaxation of.	77
Scholarships, raising of income limit of parents for grant of—to Harijan students in high schools ..	246-247
Surplus income secondary schools, number of ..	21-22

Muthukannappan, Vidwan T.—

Question re—

B.T. colleges run by Government, strength of ..	159-160
	197
Basic Training Course, stipends to students undergoing ..	380
Calendar and diary printed by Government, indication of Tamil year and months ..	243-244

Q—cont.

Questions and Answers—cont.

Muthukannappan, Vidwan T.—cont.

Question re—cont.

Caustic soda industry, starting of—in Kovælam	279
Enteric fever in Madras City, causes of outbreak and preventive measures taken	237-238
Fishermen of Verket village, harassment by Ceylon Police ..	338-339
Indian Nationals, death of—on the seashore of Ceylon during August 1960	335
Industrial Estate (Functional) for manufacture of leather goods, setting up of	384
“Lovers’ Path” in Madras City, changing name of	73
Married women, action against husbands neglecting	75
Open Places (Prevention of Disfigurement) Act, 1959, number of prosecutions under	284-285
Postal forms in use in Madras State, printing in English and Tamil of	206
Promotion of Tamil studies, assistance to Universities in other States	23-24
Thalwadi, transfer to Mysore State	115-116
Village officers, abolition of hereditary system of	208
Women police, recruitment of	162-163
Ramasamy Gounder, Sri K. M.—	
Question re Legal Terms Committee, report of	78-79
Sambandhan, Sri S. K.—	
Question re—	
Bridges across the Paravanar and the Gadilam, construction of	288
Handloom delegation to the Far East, report of	333
High schools, fixing of maximum strength of pupils	79-80
Overbridge at railway level-crossing near Tambaram, construction of	284
Powerlooms in Madras State, introduction of	375-378
State Transport, opening of route between Madras and Mepur.	240
State Transport, operation of bus service from Madras to Tirumazhisai	202

Sreenivasan, Dr. A.—

Question re—

Animals certified for slaughter during January-March 1960 in Madras City, number of	204-205
Board of Revenue, abolition of post of Fourth Member ..	116-117
Cars for use of Ministers, import of—during 1959-60 ..	373-374
District Magistrate, South Arcot, practising of medicine by Electricity Board (State), appointment of person debarred from Government service in	160-162
Fertilizer Factory, Avadi, inspection by Public Health authorities	245-246
Fertilizer	243
Ice plants for manufacture of ice cream, inspection of—by Public Health staff	24-25
Industrial Investment Corporation, loan advanced to Uma Printers and Uma Magazine, Madras	283

Q—cont.

Questions and Answers—cont.

Sreenivasan, Dr. A.—cont.

Question re—cont.

Judges of High Court, procedure for appointment of	76
Kundah Project, expenditure on inauguration of	339-340
Land Ceiling Bill, complaint against Tahsildar, Tiruvellore, and action taken thereon	122-123
M.B.B.S. Course, interview by Director of Medical Services in July 1960 for admission to	337
Pay and Accounts Office, time-limit for disposal of bills tendered	121
Poonamallee Panchayat, electricity failure in—during January-March 1960	164 199-200
Posts of Secretary, Finance Department, and Member, Board of Revenue, combination of	158-159
Press comments on administrative matters, action to be taken.	20
Re-employment of Heads of Departments, during 1959-60, reasons for	22-23
Sterile solutions manufactured and sold by King Institute, during half-year ended 30th June 1959, information re ..	379-380
Supreme Court Judges, Sri E. V. Ramaswamy Naicker's statement re appointment of	201-202
Temple properties, misappropriation by trustees of—during 1960	334-335
Thiruvatheeswarar Temple, Triplicane, removal of contents of undi	240-241
Tholurpatti Leprosorium Doctor murder case, judgment in ..	285-286

Srinivasavaradan, Sri T. P.—

Question re—

Accidents caused by lorries and motor cars in Madras City in August and September 1960, number of	165
Arts College for Women, starting of—in Salem	21
Conference, State Ministers for Agriculture, held in Delhi in August 1960, decisions of	121
Dogs, levy of tax on—and destruction of stray—	242-243
Election campaign, legislation prohibiting students from participating in	238-240
Electricity, violation of restriction of power load in Coimbatore.	244-245
First-grade Language Pandits in aided secondary schools, principles governing fixation of strength of	76-77
Influenza, prevalence of—in Madras City during September-October 1960	336
Kanyakumari district, campaign in—for retransfer to Kerala.	157-158
Missing of Government jeep on 31st August 1960	207-208
Municipal market, Arni, rent of stalls in	337-338
Oriental Colleges, number and names of—as on 1st April 1960.	117-118 151
Plague, outbreak of—in Hosur taluk in November 1960 ..	286-287
Price lists, display of—by shop-keepers	284
School Assistants in Mathematics, Science and Social Studies, number of—recruited in 1958-59 and 1959-60	160, 196

Q—cont.

Questions and Answers—cont.

Srinivasavaradan, Sri T. P.—cont.

Question re—cont.

Secondary grade teachers and trained graduates continued on a temporary basis in District Board schools, number of ..	379
S.S.L.C. Examination held in March 1959, amount of fees collected and remuneration paid to examiners	203-204
S.S.L.C. Examinations in March 1959 and 1960, number of candidates declared eligible for University Course at ..	202-203
Seed Farms, number of State—as on 1st April 1960	22
State Transport, profit earned from 1957-58 to 1959-60 ..	280-282
Statutory Rules and Regulations relating to services, amendments during 1958-60	1-2
Teleprinter factory in Madras, location at Guindy	374-375

Thangavel Mudaliar, Sri A. K.—

Question re—

Collectors transferred from Chingleput district since January 1957 and reasons for transfer	163-164
Groundnut crops in Chingleput district, measures adopted to deal with pest attack	119-120
Pesticides, supply at half the cost to protect groundnut crop in Chingleput and North Arcot districts	241-242
Roads and pathways leading to burning grounds for Harijans in Perandur and Kancheepuram firkas	337

R

Ramaswamy, Sri K. V.—

Bill—Chit Funds Bill, 1961	296-297
Governor's Address, discussion on	106-110

Ramaswamy Gounder, Sri K. M.—

Governor's Address, discussion on	135-137
---	---------

Rectified Spirit Rules, 1959—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table	14
---	----

Regional Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, North Arcot—

Powers delegated to —, notification laid on the table	410
---	-----

Registrar of Co-operative Societies—

Review of activities of office of —, for half-year ending 31st December 1959	9
--	---

Registrar of Firms—

Short review of office of —, laid on the table	411
--	-----

Resolution re—

Assignment of lands to Harijans	229-233
Linguistic minorities, educational facilities and representation in services for	219-229

Restriction of Habitual Offenders Rules, 1949—

Amendment to rule 28, notification laid on the table	8
--	---

R—cont.

Roads and pathways—

Question re—

Number of—to burning grounds for Harijans in Perandur and Kancheepuram firkas	337
---	-----

Rules for management of Special Homes and Workhouses in the City of Madras, 1955—

Amendments to—, notification laid on the table	11, 13
	310, 410

Rulings and Observations of the Chair—

Bills—

With reference to reopening of a clause passed with an amendment, the Chairman observed—

“The words inserted by the acceptance of the amendment may be deleted or the original clause and the amendment proposed may be reopened and the amendment negated”.	387
---	-----

Questions and Answers—

Members should put only supplementary questions and not suggest action to be taken or give information	22, 76
	118

On a point raised whether the Leader of the House replied to questions addressed to the Chief Minister after consulting him, the Chairman observed—

“When a reply is given on behalf of some other Minister, he is supposed to have consulted the Hon. Minister concerned.”	202
---	-----

S

Sales of Motor Spirit Taxation Rules, 1939—

Amendments to—, notifications laid on the table	8, 12
---	-------

Sales tax—

Exemption of dealers in green tea leaves from—, notification laid on the table	310
Exemption of midday meals in schools and Harijan hostels from—, notification laid on the table	12
Omission of item 48 in First Schedule to General Sales Tax Act, 1959, notification laid on the table	14
Reduction of—, on electrical goods sold to electrical undertakings in Madras State, notification laid on the table	13

Sambandhan, Sri S. K.—

“Bleeding Madras”, Minister's attention called to fall in prices ..	248
Governor's Address, discussion on	125-130
	180

Sanitary Engineering Branch—

Administration Report of—, on Rural Water-supply Scheme for the half-year 1958-59, laid on the table	11
--	----

Saraswathy Pandurangam, Srimathi—

Governor's Address, discussion on	148-149
---	---------

Scholarships—

Question re raising of income limit of parents for grant of—to Harijan students in high schools	246-247
---	---------

S—cont.

School Assistants—

Question <i>re</i> number of — in Mathematics, Science and Social Studies, recruited in 1958-59 and 1959-60	160, 198
--	----------

Schools—

Question *re*—

Aided elementary —, conditions for opening of	121-122
Strength of pupils in high —, fixing of maximum	79-80
Surplus income secondary —, number of	21-22

Secretary, Finance Department—

Question <i>re</i> combination of posts of — and Member, Board of Revenue	158-159
--	---------

Seed Farms—

Question <i>re</i> number of State — as on 1st April 1960	22
---	----

Seshachariar, Sri M.—

Bills—

Chit Funds Bill, 1961	294-296 344, 347
Cinemas (Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1960	251
Governor's Address, discussion on	102-106

Sivasubramanya Nadar, Sri S. P.—

Governor's Address, discussion on	130-132
---	---------

Southern Zonal Council—

Decisions of Zonal Ministerial Committee as approved by— <i>re</i> safe- guards to Linguistic Minorities, paper laid on the table	113
--	-----

Spirituos Essences Rules, 1959—

Amendments to —, notification laid on the table	13
---	----

Sreenivasan, Dr. A.—

Governor's Address, discussion on	176
---	-----

Srinivasavaradan, Sri T. P.—

Bill—Chit Funds Bill, 1961	298 346-347 358-359 362, 365, 389 400
Governor's Address, discussion on	28, 49-54 181, 182

Teachers in aided private secondary schools, calling Minister's atten- tion to non-payment of salaries to	384
--	-----

State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961—

See Bills.

State Transport Department—

Review of activities of — for half-year ended 30th September 1959, laid on the table	14
---	----

State Warehousing Corporation—

Balance-sheet and Profit and Loss Account as on 31st March 1960 and Audit Report, laid on the table	14
--	----

S—cont.

Statement under rule 41 of the Council Rules—	
<i>See</i> Matter of urgent public importance.	
Statistics Department—	
Review of activities of— for half-year ending 30th September 1959, laid on the table	10
Statutory Rules and Regulations—	
Question <i>re</i> amendments to— relating to services during 1958-60.	1-2
Sterile solutions—	
<i>See</i> King Institute, Guindy.	
Subbiah Chettiar, Sri M.—	
Bill—Chit Funds Bill, 1961	355, 359
Governor's Address, discussion on	95-99
Subramaniam, the Hon. Sr C.—	
Bills—	
Appropriation Bill, 1961	209
Teacher Protection Bill, 1960	216-217 213
Resolution <i>re</i> linguistic minorities, educational facilities and representation in services for	225-228
State Library Committee, election of one member to	26, 27
Supplementary Statement of Expenditure for 1960-61 (Second)—	
Discussion on	193-196
Presented	3-5 193-196
Teachers in aided private secondary schools, statement <i>re</i> non-payment of salaries to	384
Subramanyam, Sri A.—	
Governor's Address, discussion on	80-85
Nomination of— to the Panel of Vice-chairmen	26
Supplementary Statement of Expenditure for 1960-61 (Second)—	
Discussion on	182-196
Presented	3-5
Supreme Court Judges—	
<i>See</i> Judges.	
Surendraram, Sri V. M.—	
Governor's Address, discussion on	132-135
T	
Tamil Studies—	
Question <i>re</i> promotion of—, assistance to Universities in other States	23-24
Teacher Protection Bill, 1960—	
<i>See</i> Bills.	
Teachers—	
Non-payment of salaries to—in aided private secondary schools, Minister's attention called to	384
Question <i>re</i> First-Grade Language Pandits in aided secondary schools, principles governing fixation of strength of	76-77

T—cont.

Teachers—cont.

Question re—cont.

Hindi Pandits under Thanjavur District Board, reduction in emoluments of	206
House construction advances, extension of benefit to non-Government teachers	380-381
Music — in secondary schools, minimum qualifications for ..	378-379
Secondary grade teachers and trained graduates continued on a temporary basis in District Board schools, number of ..	379
Teleprinter factory—	
Question re location of — at Guindy	371-375
Temple properties—	
Question re misappropriation of — by trustees during 1960 ..	334-335
Templers—	
Question re—	
Thiruvatheswarar —, Triplicane, removal of contents of undi ..	240-241
Thalwadi—	
Question re transfer of — to Mysore State	115-116
Thangavel Mudaliar, Sri A. K.—	
Bill—District Development Councils (Amendment) Bill, 1961 ..	219
Governor's Address, discussion on	123-125
Tholurpatti Leprosorium—	
Question re judgment in — doctor murder case	285-286
Tiruchirappalli Kaieruvaram and Mattuvaram Rules, 1959—	
Amendments to —, notification laid on the table	5
Tiruttani taluk—	
Question re shifting of headquarters from Manur to Tiruvalangadu.	164
Tiruvalangadu village—	
Question re shifting of headquarters from Manur to Tiruvalangadu.	164
Transport—	
Question re—	
State —, opening of route between Madras and Mepur	240
State —, operation of bus service from Madras to Thirumazhisai.	202
State —, profit earned from 1957-58 to 1959-60, etc.	280-282

U

Uma Printers and Uma Magazine—

Question re loan advanced by Industrial Investment Corporation to —	283
---	-----

V

Venkataraman, the Hon. Sri R.—

Bills—

Chit Funds Bill, 1961	293-294
	303-309, 347-351, 359, 363
	365, 366, 367-368, 369
	384-391, 393, 396
	398-402, 404-406, 408-409

V—cont.

Venkataraman, the Hon. Sri R.—cont.

Bills—cont.

General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1961	267-268 269
State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1961	259-260 265-266, 267
“Bleeding Madras”, statement <i>re</i> fall in prices of	248-249
Business of the House, transaction of Government business on a non-official day (18th February 1961)	292
Condolence Resolution <i>re</i> death of Kuppuswamy Mudaliar, Sri A., Member of the Council	1-2
Governor's Address, discussion on	171-181
Walk-out by Members of Opposition protesting against unfair treatment of members during visit of Queen Elizabeth II	333
Vidwans—	
Question <i>re</i> number of II-A — selected for Pandits' Training Course during 1959-60	118-119
Village Officers—	
Question <i>re</i> abolition of hereditary system of	208

W

Walk-out—	
Protest against unfair treatment of Members during visit of Queen Elizabeth II	333
Wells—	
Question <i>re</i> —	
Artesian and exploratory tubewells sunk in South Arcot in 1960, information <i>re</i>	205-206
Proposals for digging more wells in Tiruvalangadu area	122
Women—	
Question <i>re</i> action against husbands neglecting married	75

Z

Zonal Ministerial Committee—
See Southern Zonal Council.



